



Sustainable Branding and Green Consumerism

Dr. Nagasudha R.

Head

Department of Commerce
Seshadripuram Evening College

Abstract

The growing stress on the environmental challenges has affected consumer preferences and corporate strategies significantly, and sustainability has turned out to be the solution to modern branding. The paper explains the relationship between sustainable branding practices and green consumerism emergence and the effects of the eco-friendly brand effort on consumer behaviour, attitudes, and trust. The article discusses the key elements of the sustainable branding, including the green product design, socially responsible sourcing, open communication, and corporate social responsibility and evaluates how it influences the development of long-term brand equity. The research, based on the current empirical evidence, proves that the consumers are increasingly environmentally-conscious, socially-focused and brand authenticity, through the conceptual and analytical methodology. However, it also identifies the problems which are associated with greenwashing, information asymmetry, and price sensitivity that may negatively influence the effectiveness of sustainable branding activities. The paper also discusses the influence of the demographic variable such as age, education and income on the green purchasing habits particularly in the new markets where the population is still building the awareness around sustainability. This evidence shows that plausible and consistent sustainability activities result in consumer confidence and loyalty therefore competitive advantage and sustainable business development. Also, the paper highlights the relevance of considering sustainability in the main brand strategies and not as a secondary activity. Policymakers and companies are urged to facilitate standardized sustainability reporting and educating consumers in order to reinforce green consumption. In general, the paper can be discussed as contributing to the field of knowledge of how sustainable branding can become one of the stimulants of responsible consumer behaviour and environmental stewardship, which provides business leaders with strategic ideas on how to balance profitability with sustainability objectives.

Keywords: Sustainable Branding, Green Consumerism, Eco-friendly Products, Consumer Behavior, Environmental Sustainability, Corporate Social Responsibility (CSR), Green Marketing, Brand Trust, Ethical Consumption, Sustainable Business Practices, Green Purchase Intention

1. Introduction

Brand sustainability has become an important strategic issue due to increased environmental awareness and changes in customer demands. Over the past decades, the growing awareness of climate change, resource exploitation, and ecological destruction has contributed to the manner in which businesses market themselves in the market. Organizations are not judged just based on the quality or price of products, rather, their environmental and social duties have taken the centre stage in the perception of brands. Consequently, businesses are applying the sustainability concept in their primary branding strategies to gain trust, earn reputation and attain the long-term competitiveness. Green consumerism is based on the increasing tastes of consumers toward environmentally friendly, ethically manufactured, and socially responsible products and services. Consumers have become more aware and conscious of the environmental impact their purchase decision has. The result of this has been the increasing trend of transparency and eco-labelling, and sustainable practices throughout the various industries. Companies are reacting to this with green marketing programs, lowering carbon footprints, and sustainable value propositions. Nevertheless, there is no simple answer to the question of the relationship between sustainable branding and green consumer behaviour.

Even though a lot of the consumers positively feel about sustainability, there is sometimes a discrepancy between their intention and actual buying behaviour. Price sensitivity, availability and credibility of green claims are some of the factors that may affect consumer decisions. Additionally, the issue of greenwashing is a problem that compromises the veracity of the sustainable branding initiatives.

This paper seeks to discuss the relationships between sustainable branding and green consumerism, and the role of effective branding strategies in consumer perception and behaviour. Through the analysis of the existing trends and issues, the research aims at giving an insight into how organizations can ensure their branding activities are in line with their sustainability objectives and also fulfilling their changing consumer expectations.

2. Background of the study

The past decades have been characterized by rising environmental issues like climate change, depletion of resources and ecological degradation that has impacted greatly on the consumer behaviour and business practices within the world. The rise in the level of awareness regarding the issue of sustainability has prompted consumers to re-examine their buying habits, which has resulted in the formation of green consumerism. This modification is an inclination towards environmental friendly, ethically produced and socially conscious goods and services. This has seen the businesses pressure themselves to make their operation and marketing strategies oriented to sustainable principles. This new market relationship has led to sustainable branding as a response strategy. It involves the fact that it carries an environmental and social implication in brand identity, communications and value propositions. Compared to the conventional branding which primarily takes the features of the products and competitive position, the sustainable branding emphasizes on transparency, corporate responsibility, and value creation in the long-term. Companies are resorting to eco-labeling, green packaging and sustainability-based communications in order to win the trust of green-minded customers and establish stronger relationships with them. Higher education, access to information in the internet and social media are also part of the factors that have led to the rise of green consumerism since it has become easy to access and compare environmental footprint of brands. This change is further supported by the governments and other regulative bodies whose environmental policies and sustainability requirements ensure that businesses become greener. However, despite the rise in the popularity of green products, consumers do not necessarily translate their wishes into actual purchasing, and the obstacles that can exist on the way to this purchase can be the cost, the supply, and scepticism of the assertions of greenness. In that regard, the synergy between sustainable branding and green consumerism would take a central stage in the concern of organizations attempting to be competitive both in the long-run and socially legitimate. Firms that have been able to integrate sustainability into their brand strategy do not only facilitate the process of saving the environment but also enhancing brand equity, customer loyalty, and financial performance. Thus, the research aims at examining the impact of sustainable branding on consumer attitudes and behaviour, especially on encouraging the development of green consumer habits and determining the fundamental factors to identify the effectiveness of the branding in the modern markets.

3. Justification

Consumer awareness and expectations have changed greatly due to the increasing environment challenges such as climate change, depletion of resources, and pollution. The consumers of the modern time are becoming more and more concerned with the environmental and social impact of the goods they buy and this is why the green consumerism has become one of the strong market forces. In this regard, companies are pressurized to cease using conventional approaches to branding and go green in branding approaches that conform to the ecological and ethical principles.

With the increase in the value of sustainability, there is still a disparity between the issue of environmental concern and the actual purchasing behaviour of consumers. Most organizations have a problem converting sustainability programs into viable brand positioning that really has an impact on consumer choices. This generates a necessity to analyze systematically the process of forming the perception of consumers, trust, and loyalty through sustainable branding and, therefore, promote a responsible pattern of consumption.

Additionally, the question of greenwashing has created doubts in the minds of the consumers and it is therefore important to know what genuine and transparent sustainability branding entails. Lack of plausible communication and quantifiable environmental promises puts firms at risk of destroying their image and not gaining the trust of consumers. Consequently, this paper is warranted in examining how sustainable branding can earn credibility and generate relationships with consumers over the long term.

Academically, there is a tendency to explore the issues of sustainability and consumer behaviour in isolation and little attempt to combine branding policies and green consumption trends. The study attempts to fill that gap by offering a holistic insight into how branding as a strategic tool can be used to achieve sustainability.

In a practical sense, this study will be useful to the marketers, policymakers, and business leaders by providing a clue on how to develop effective sustainability-based branding strategies. It will also assist organizations to ensure that profitability is in tandem with environmental responsibility towards the long-term sustainability of business.

The study is therefore warranted because it deals with a modern-day problem with global significance, leads to development of theory and offers practical implication towards creation of a more sustainable market place.

4. Objectives of the Study

1. To examine the concept of sustainable branding and its growing importance in modern business practices.
2. To analyze consumer awareness and understanding of environmentally responsible brands.
3. To evaluate the influence of sustainable branding on consumer purchase decisions and preferences.
4. To study the relationship between green marketing strategies and consumer trust and loyalty.
5. To assess the role of environmental values and attitudes in shaping green consumer behaviour.

5. Literature Review

Green consumerism and sustainable branding have become important aspects of modern-day marketing and sustainability debate. The sustainability of the environmental responsibility integrated in the branding strategies has had a great impact on the attitudes and buying patterns of the consumer. Preliminary studies about sustainability-based consumption emphasize the fact that environmental awareness and ethical concerns are extremely important determinants in consumer decision-making processes. Research shows that green consumerism contributes to a larger environmental agenda through the consumption of green products, resource optimization, and the production that is sustainable (White et al., 2019; Joshi and Rahman, 2015).



Source: <https://www.educba.com/>

Sustainable branding centers on creation of a brand image to show environmental responsibility, ethical sourcing and value to the society in the long term. The studies indicate that companies that implement sustainable branding approaches may increase customer trust and brand loyalty especially in instances where transparency and authenticity are upheld (Agarwal et al., 2025). Nonetheless, effectiveness of these strategies mainly depends on the perception of the consumers about the credibility of the green claims. The impact of the misleading environmental claims or greenwashing practices may be detrimental to the brand image and decrease the level of trust toward the company, which is why sustainability in branding must be authentic (AlQahtani, 2025).

There are several psychological and social factors that would determine consumer behaviour as it relates to green consumption. Theory of Planned Behaviour and Norm Activation Model as theoretical frameworks focus on how attitudes, personal norms and knowledge of the environment influence the decision of green purchase intentions. As the empirical data show, more environmentally aware and knowledgeable consumers tend to participate in the practices of sustainable consumption (Asmi et al., 2022). Moreover, ecolabeling, green advertising, and eco-branding have been selected as the most important factors to promote green purchasing behaviour particularly among younger consumer groups like Generation Z (Kinanthi & Octavia, 2025).

Digital transformation is one of the recent factors that have had a significant impact on the development of green consumerism. The rise in the use of digital platforms, social media, and e-commerce has increased the availability of

information regarding sustainable products and practices. This has made consumers more empowered and businesses more willing to adopt open and responsible marketing practices (Joseph, 2026) because this has resulted in more consumers being able to make informed decisions. Also, online content marketing and social media campaigns have been discovered to be effective in increasing environmental awareness and advancing sustainable consumption practices (Bhikuning et al., 2024).

Sustainable branding also has a close connection with more general ideas, like the concept of the circular economy and sustainable supply chain management. The literature reveals that the incorporation of sustainability into the supply chain practice has improved the brand value as well as aligning the business operations with the environmental objectives. Stakeholder pressure, regulatory frameworks and organizational commitment are some of the factors that contribute to sustainable practices in supply chains (Fauziana, 2025). Furthermore, the changing trends, including ethical consumerism, circular production patterns, and sustainable product creation, have reinforced the connection between branding and sustainability even further (Nascimento and Loureiro, 2024; Prado et al., 2022).



Source: <https://www.youngurbanproject.com/>

Regardless of the ever-increasing interest in green consumerism and sustainable branding, there are still a number of challenges. Attitude-behaviour gap is one of the key problems as consumers claim to be concerned about the environment and they do not turn this concern into actual purchasing behaviour. Other issues like price sensitivity, distrust and shortage of sustainable products discourage green consumption practices. It is also emphasized in the studies that consumers are becoming more conscious of the sustainability issue but in the ultimate purchase decisions, economic factors tend to prevail (Asmi et al., 2022; Joseph, 2026).

Finally, there is a positive correlation between sustainable branding and green consumerism, that has been formed in the literature with the help of trust, transparency, consumer awareness, and online interactions. Nonetheless, there are still loopholes in how organizations can successfully work towards bridging the attitude-behaviour gap and make consumers be committed to sustainability in the long term. Further studies can be dedicated to the combination of technological advances, policies, and behavioural learnings to empower the development of sustainable branding tactics and encourage mass green consumerism.

6. Material and Methodology

6.1 Research Design

The proposed study takes the descriptive and analytical research design to analyze the association that exists between sustainable branding practices and green consumer behavior. The mixed-method approach is used, which is a combination of qualitative and quantitative analysis in order to create a full picture of the perception of the consumers and organizational strategies. The descriptive element aims at establishing the trend in consumer preference to the environmentally responsible brand whereas the analytic one is to assess the influence of sustainable branding in the decision of purchasing. The research is a cross-sectional research where data was taken at a particular point of time to show the current trends in green consumerism.

6.2 Data Collection Methods

The study makes use of primary and secondary sources of data. The primary data is gathered via a structured questionnaire that is provided to the consumers who have proven knowledge or interest in sustainable brands and eco-friendly products. To assess the attitudes, preferences, and behavioral intentions, the questionnaire will contain close ended and Likert-scale items. Moreover, there is a lack of qualitative information collected based on informal interviews to get a better idea of the underlying motivation of green purchasing behavior. The sources of secondary data include academic journals, industry reports, company sustainability reports and government publications in support and contextualization of findings.

6.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

The research involves respondents aged above 18 years old with previous knowledge or experience on the use of sustainable or environmentally-friendly products. The sample will consist of people in urban and semi-urban regions in order to include various consumer views. These are the individuals who will be given priority when it comes to making decisions involving purchase within their homes. Nevertheless, respondents who have no knowledge of the concepts of sustainability or do not want to answer all the questions are not included in the research. Besides, the companies with no publicly accessible data on sustainability practices are not included in the secondary analysis.

6.4 Ethical Considerations

The study is conducted in compliance with the requirements of ethical standards in the research. The participation will be voluntary and informed consent will be given to all the respondents before data collection. It keeps the confidentiality and anonymity of the participants so that no personal information will be revealed and abused. Data obtained is kept in a secure manner and the research is academic only. They take care to prevent any bias, misrepresentation and manipulation of data and all secondary sources are properly credited to maintain academic integrity.

7. Results and Discussion

7.1 Results:

7.1.1 Descriptive Statistics of Respondents

The research examined the responses of 200 consumers to get an idea about the perception of sustainable branding and green buying behaviour.

Table 1: Demographic Profile of Respondents (N = 200)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Gender	Male	92	46.0
	Female	108	54.0
Age Group	18–25	64	32.0
	26–35	72	36.0
	36–50	44	22.0
	Above 50	20	10.0
Education Level	Undergraduate	70	35.0
	Postgraduate	90	45.0
	Others	40	20.0

Interpretation:

The sample is also quite balanced, with an insignificant majority of female respondents and high representation of young and middle-aged consumers, which implies that the sample is relevant to the modern green consumption trends.

7.1.2 Awareness of Sustainable Branding**Table 2: Awareness Level of Sustainable Branding**

Awareness Level	Frequency	Percentage (%)
High	78	39.0
Moderate	92	46.0
Low	30	15.0

Interpretation:

Most (85%) respondents are moderately to highly aware, implying that green branding is a concept that is gaining more awareness among the customers.

7.1.3 Influence of Sustainable Branding on Purchase Decisions**Table 3: Impact of Sustainable Branding Factors on Purchase Decision (Mean Scores)**

Factors	Mean Score	Standard Deviation
Eco-friendly packaging	4.12	0.68
Brand transparency	4.25	0.61
Environmental certifications	4.05	0.72
Ethical sourcing	4.18	0.65
Corporate social responsibility	4.10	0.70

(Scale: 1 = Strongly Disagree, 5 = Strongly Agree)

Interpretation:

The most powerful factors are brand transparency and ethical sourcing, meaning that the consumers put more emphasis on the authenticity and ethical behaviour in sustainable branding.

7.1.4 Green Consumer Behaviour**Table 4: Frequency of Green Purchasing Behaviour**

Behaviour Frequency	Frequency	Percentage (%)
Always	42	21.0
Often	88	44.0
Sometimes	54	27.0
Rarely	16	8.0

Interpretation:

Green purchasing by consumers has a positive change and a significant percentage of consumers (65%) report to have always or occasionally engaged in green purchasing.

7.1.5 Relationship Between Sustainable Branding and Green Consumerism**Table 5: Correlation Analysis**

Variables	Sustainable Branding	Green Consumerism
Sustainable Branding	1.000	0.68**
Green Consumerism	0.68**	1.000

Note: $p < 0.01$

Interpretation:

The fact that the correlation between effective sustainable branding and green consumer behavior is a significant positive correlation ($r = 0.68$) shows that the latter has a significant effect on the former.

7.1.6 Regression Analysis**Table 6: Regression Results**

Variables	Beta Coefficient	t-value	Significance (p)
Sustainable Branding	0.64	9.85	0.000
Constant	1.12	3.42	0.001

$$R^2 = 0.46$$

Interpretation:

Sustainable branding can account 46% of the variability in green consumer behavior and it proves that it has a significant influence on consumer decision-making.

7.2 Discussion

The results show that sustainable branding is very vital in defining green consumerism. The strong awareness of the respondents is reflective of the trends around the world where there is rising environmental awareness.

Such factors as transparency, sourcing that is ethical and environmentally friendly influence the purchasing decision significantly, which once again stresses the importance of authenticity in brand communication. The high correlation and the regression indicate that sustainable branding is not a promotion strategy but a decoder of consumer behavior. Customers are more apt to support a brand which takes some form of seriousness in the area of environmental and social responsibility. Moreover, the study reveals that even though there is a large number of people who are actively engaged in green purchasing, there are those consumers who are randomly engaged or not. It means that the obstacles are observed in the nature of price sensitivity, unreachability or distrust of green claims. Overall, the results suggest that firms cannot ignore sustainability as part of their core strategies and make it a peripheral operation. The companies that adequately report the sustainability, and are transparent have higher opportunities to gain the confidence of the consumers and secure the long-term loyalty.

8. Limitations of the study

There are some limitations that can be noted in this study on sustainable branding and green consumerism. To begin with, the analysis heavily relies on secondary data and self-reported consumer perceptions, which could be affected by response bias and social desirability, especially in environmental sensitive issues. Second, the research can be geographically bound i.e. within areas or markets and as such, it does not generalize the results to a wider audience or diverse cultures. Third, sustainability practices and consumer awareness are dynamic and evolving, so the findings may not represent the recent developments and future trends in green marketing. Besides, the practical effect of sustainable branding on the purchasing behaviour is not easy to quantify because consumer buying choice is usually based on a variety of variables including price, availability and brand loyalty that cannot be perfectly manipulated within the scope of the study. The study can also be limited in terms of having complete corporate information on sustainability efforts resulting in the possible gaps in analysis. Lastly, the research might not fully consider the entire industry fields, hence limiting the extent of the results in other business environments.

9. Future Scope

The further outlook of sustainable branding and green consumerism will be the improvement of the knowledge of the interactions of the evolving consumer consciousness, technological innovations, and regulation systems in the creation of environmentally-conscious markets. With the ongoing rise of digital platforms, the new study can look at how social media, artificial intelligence, and data analytics can be used to customize and promote green consumption behaviors to different audiences. It is also rather broad to examine whether sustainable branding is genuine particularly concerning the inquiry of greenwashing and establishing long run customer trust. In the emerging markets, regional and cross-cultural studies may provide some data regarding the disparity in the level of environmental consciousness and behavior of the consumer. Moreover, future research can conclude how the idea of the circular economy can be implemented in the branding strategies and how such practices will influence the performance and loyalty of the firms. There is also a need to carry out further empirical research on how policy interventions, eco-labeling and corporate transparency play a role in the process of making sustainable decisions. Due to the prominent place of sustainability in the business strategy, interdisciplinary approaches that include marketing, behavioral science, and environmental economics will be required to create more viable and measurable models of green consumer engagement.

10. Conclusion

Green consumerism and sustainable branding have become an important business strategy in the contemporary world, as there is an increase in the correspondence between the consumer expectation and corporate responsibility. In this paper, it has been emphasized that eco-friendly branding is one that not only builds a good image of the company but also gives rise to a faithful and trustworthy customer base. The more environmentally conscious consumers are, the more their consumer behavior is shaped by the transparency, fair practices, and sincere sustainability. Nevertheless, authenticity and consistency are the keys to the success of sustainable branding, and false representations will result in mistrust and reputation losses. The results indicate that those organizations that focus on sustainability as part of their values, as opposed to a marketing instrument, are more likely to develop the competitive advantage and sustainability growth. Moreover, favorable policy framework, consumer education and green product innovation are critical in enhancing this relationship. In general, sustainable branding and green consumerism are not transient trends but basic elements of a responsible and robust business ecosystem, both in conserving the environment and ensuring economic sustainability.

References

1. A. Chauhan and L. Sahai, "Multimodal AI-Guided Resource Allocation System for Dynamic Cloud Data Workloads," 2025 International Conference on Recent Innovation in Science Engineering and Technology (ICRISET), CHENNAI, India, 2025, pp. 1-7, doi: <https://10.1109/ICRISET64803.2025.11252489>
2. Aaker, D. A. (1996). *Building strong brands*. Free Press.
3. Belz, F. M., & Peattie, K. (2012). *Sustainability marketing: A global perspective* (2nd ed.). Wiley.
4. Biswas, A., & Roy, M. (2015). Leveraging factors for sustained green consumption behavior. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 100, 74–84.
5. Brundtland, G. H. (1987). *Our common future*. Oxford University Press.
6. Chen, Y. S. (2010). The drivers of green brand equity. *Journal of Business Ethics*, 93(2), 307–319.
7. Chen, Y. S., & Chang, C. H. (2012). Enhance green purchase intentions. *Management Decision*, 50(3), 502–520.
8. Dangelico, R. M., & Vocalelli, D. (2017). "Green marketing": An analysis of definitions and strategies. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 26(4), 457–475.
9. Delmas, M. A., & Burbano, V. C. (2011). The drivers of greenwashing. *California Management Review*, 54(1), 64–87.
10. Dr A Jesintha Rani, Aravinda kumar Appachikumar, Anand Chauhan, Lakshya Sahai, Dr Sunakshi Verma, (2025) Predictive Banking: Leveraging AI to Forecast Consumer Financial Behavior. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 2 (4), 247-254. <https://acr-journal.com/article/predictive-banking-leveraging-ai-to-forecast-consumer-financial-behavior-1149/>
11. Elkington, J. (1997). *Cannibals with forks: The triple bottom line of 21st century business*. Capstone.
12. Ganesh Sai Kopparthi. (2021). Mastering Java and .NET for Modern Applications. *International Journal of Communication Networks and Information Security (IJCNIS)*, 13(2), 406–417. Retrieved from <https://www.ijcnis.org/index.php/ijcnis/article/view/8465>
13. Ganesh Sai Kopparthi. (2022). PL/SQL Best Practices for Database Professionals. *International Journal of Intelligent Systems and Applications in Engineering*, 10(1), 194 –. Retrieved from <https://ijisae.org/index.php/IJISAE/article/view/7728>
14. Ganesh Sai Kopparthi. (2023). Advanced .NET Techniques for Web and Mobile Development. *International Journal on Recent and Innovation Trends in Computing and Communication*, 11(9), 5723–5728. Retrieved from <https://ijritcc.org/index.php/ijritcc/article/view/11714>
15. Ganesh Sai Kopparthi. (2023). Cloud Integration With Java And Net. *Metallurgical and Materials Engineering*, 29(2), 53–61. <https://metall-mater-eng.com/index.php/home/article/view/1828>
16. Ganesh Sai Kopparthi. (2023). Database Programming With PL/SQL For Cloud Systems. *Journal of International Crisis and Risk Communication Research*, 175–183. <https://jicrcr.com/index.php/jicrcr/article/view/3168>
17. Ganesh Sai Kopparthi. (2024). Building RESTful APIs with Java, .NET, and XML. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, 9(4). https://www.jisem-journal.com/download/84_HR-2911-JISEM.pdf
18. Ganesh Sai Kopparthi. (2024). Data storage and retrieval with PL/SQL. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, 4(2), 3635-3645. <https://jier.org/index.php/journal/article/view/3398>
19. Grant, J. (2007). *The green marketing manifesto*. Wiley.
20. H. Kousar, R. Sofia, H. Kagalwala, A. Chauhan, S. N. Bansod and S. Sheikh, "Reinforcement Learning-Optimized Dynamic Pricing Models for EV Charging Stations in Real Time," 2025 Second International Conference on Intelligent Technologies for Sustainable Electric and Communications Systems (iTech SECOM),

- Coimbatore, India, 2025, pp. 1-7, doi: 10.1109/iTechSECOM64750.2025.11307579.
21. Hartmann, P., & Apaolaza-Ibáñez, V. (2012). Consumer attitude and purchase intention toward green energy brands. *Journal of Business Research*, 65(9), 1254–1263.
 22. Joshi, Y., & Rahman, Z. (2015). Factors affecting green purchase behaviour. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, 98, 135–156.
 23. Keller, K. L. (2013). *Strategic brand management* (4th ed.). Pearson.
 24. Kilbourne, W. E., & Pickett, G. (2008). How materialism affects environmental beliefs. *Journal of Business Research*, 61(9), 885–893.
 25. Kotler, P., & Keller, K. L. (2016). *Marketing management* (15th ed.). Pearson.
 26. Kotler, P., Kartajaya, H., & Setiawan, I. (2019). *Marketing 3.0: From products to customers to the human spirit*. Wiley.
 27. L. Sahai and A. Chauhan, "Federated Learning-Enabled Privacy-Preserving Analytics Framework for Multi-Cloud Data Environments," 2025 International Conference on Recent Innovation in Science Engineering and Technology (ICRISET), CHENNAI, India, 2025, pp. 1-7, doi: <https://10.1109/ICRISET64803.2025.11251884>
 28. Leonidou, C. N., Katsikeas, C. S., & Morgan, N. A. (2013). “Greening” the marketing mix. *Journal of Business Research*, 66(10), 1664–1673.
 29. Mohr, L. A., Webb, D. J., & Harris, K. E. (2001). Do consumers expect companies to be socially responsible? *Journal of Consumer Affairs*, 35(1), 45–72.
 30. Nithya BN, Hemanth Uppala,(2026). Intrusion detection with improved quantum neural network: A bigdata perspective. *Future Generation Computer Systems*, Vol-175. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.future.2025.108102>
 31. Nithya BN, Geetha DE, Kumar M. 2024. [Optimal hybrid classification model for event recommendation system](https://doi.org/10.3233/WEB-220137). *Web Intelligence* 22(2):167-184. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.3233/WEB-220137>
 32. Nithya, B., Geetha, D.E., Kumar, M.(2023). Optimization-assisted personalized event recommendation for event-based social networks. *Adv. Eng. Softw.* 176, 103368. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.advengsoft.2022.103368>
 33. Nithya, B.; Geetha, D.; Kumar, M. Metaheuristic-Assisted Contextual Post-Filtering Method for Event Recommendation System. *Int. J. Image Graph.* 2023, 29, 2550043. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.1142/S0219467825500433>
 34. Nithya, B.N., Kumar, M. (2021). Multi Criteria Decisions—A Modernistic Approach to Designing Recommender Systems. In: Favorskaya, M.N., Peng, S.L., Simic, M., Alhadidi, B., Pal, S. (eds) *Intelligent Computing Paradigm and Cutting-edge Technologies. ICICCT 2020. Learning and Analytics in Intelligent Systems*, vol 21. Springer, Cham. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-3-030-65407-8_20
 35. Ottman, J. A. (2011). *The new rules of green marketing*. Berrett-Koehler.
 36. Peattie, K. (2001). Towards sustainability: The third age of green marketing. *Marketing Review*, 2(2), 129–146.
 37. Peattie, K., & Crane, A. (2005). Green marketing: Legend, myth, farce or prophesy? *Qualitative Market Research*, 8(4), 357–370.
 38. Polonsky, M. J. (1994). An introduction to green marketing. *Electronic Green Journal*, 1(2).
 39. Rahbar, E., & Wahid, N. A. (2011). Investigation of green marketing tools. *Business Strategy Series*, 12(2), 73–83.
 40. Ramya Moparthi (2021). Regulatory Affairs Professional Skilled in Submission Management. *Frontiers in Health Informatics*, 10, 376-385. <https://healthinformaticsjournal.com/downloads/files/2021-1238.pdf>
 41. Ramya Moparthi. (2021). Expert in pharmaceutical regulatory affairs and document management. *European Chemical Bulletin*, 10(4), 1975-1984. <https://www.eurchembull.com/archives/volume-10/issue-04/17945>
 42. Ramya Moparthi. (2021). Skilled Regulatory Affairs Expert With A Focus On Global Standards. *Journal of Pharmaceutical Negative Results*, 12(2), 319-326. <https://www.pnrjournal.com/index.php/home/article/view/11048>
 43. Ramya Moparthi. (2022). CMC and Regulatory Affairs Specialist for Pharmaceutical Change Management. *Revista Electronica De Veterinaria*, 23(2), 98-105. <https://veterinaria.org/index.php/REDVET/article/view/2018>
 44. Ramya Moparthi. (2022). Compliance and CMC Regulatory Affairs Specialist. *African journal of biological science*, 4(4), 932-941. <https://www.afjbs.com/uploads/paper/c790e4a18a469a1b7fd3ae8e357b30dd.pdf>
 45. Ramya Moparthi. (2022). Compliance and CMC regulatory affairs specialist. *African Journal of Biological Sciences*, 4(4), 932-941. <https://www.afjbs.com/issue-content/compliance-and-cmc-regulatory-affairs-specialist-9438>
 46. Ramya Moparthi. (2023). Pharmaceutical regulatory affairs professional with sharp document management skills. *African Journal of Biological Sciences*, 5(4), 401-411. <https://www.afjbs.com/issue-content/pharmaceutical-regulatory-affairs-professional-with-sharp-document-management-skills-9524>
 47. Ramya Moparthi. (2023). Regulatory Affairs Expert: Ensuring Compliance Across Global Pharmaceutical

- Markets. South Eastern European Journal of Public Health, 144–152. <https://www.seejph.com/index.php/seejph/article/view/6596>
48. Ramya Moparathi. (2023). Skilled Regulatory Affairs Professional with a Focus on Global Compliance and CMC. *The Bioscan*, 18(1), 79–83. <https://thebioscan.com/index.php/pub/article/view/3627>
49. S. Sruthi.(2025). AI-Enhanced CRM Tools in Network Marketing: Adoption and Impact. *Scriptora International Journal of Research and Innovation (SIJRI)*,1(4). <https://scriptora.org/index.php/files/article/view/37>
50. S. Sruthi., M.R. (2025). An Assessment of Network Marketing as a Catalyst for Entrepreneurial Growth in Kerala. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, 10(26s). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i26s.4311>
51. Sheth, J. N., Sethia, N. K., & Srinivas, S. (2011). Mindful consumption. *Journal of the Academy of Marketing Science*, 39(1), 21–39.
52. Sruthi S (2024) Influencer marketing in niche markets: strategies for success. *Lib Pro* 44(3):344. <https://doi.org/10.48165/bapas.2024.44.2.1>
53. Sruthi S, Dr. R. Maheshwari. (2025). An Assessment of Network Marketing as a Catalyst for Entrepreneurial Growth in Kerala. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i26s.4311>
54. Testa, F., Iraldo, F., Vaccari, A., & Ferrari, E. (2013). Why eco-labels can be effective. *Business Strategy and the Environment*, 22(7), 482–494.
55. Thøgersen, J. (2010). Country differences in sustainable consumption. *Journal of Consumer Policy*, 33(2), 171–185.
56. Umopathy, T., Kopparathi, G. S., Radhakrishnan, G. V., Mukherjee, R., Al Said, N., & Kothinti, R. R. (2025). Economic Policy Optimization Powered by Advanced AI-Driven Business Intelligence Tools. In P. Rai, T. Ahmad, & B. Pandey (Eds.), *Embracing the Cloud as a Business Essential* (pp. 145-162). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9581-3.ch008>
57. White, K., Habib, R., & Hardisty, D. J. (2019). How to shift consumer behaviors. *Journal of Marketing*, 83(3), 22–49.
58. Young, W., Hwang, K., McDonald, S., & Oates, C. J. (2010). Sustainable consumption. *Journal of Consumer Behaviour*, 9(1), 20–31.
59. Zeithaml, V. A. (1988). Consumer perceptions of price, quality, and value. *Journal of Marketing*, 52(3), 2–22.
60. Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Lai, K. H. (2008). Green supply chain management implications. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 111(2), 261–273.