

Scriptora International Journal of Research and Innovation (SIJRI)

Journal Homepage: https://scriptora.org

Green Supply Chain Practices: Balancing Sustainability and Efficiency

Dr. Athma Jayaprakash

Associate Professor PG Department of Commerce Sree Narayana Guru College, Chelannur

Abstract

The issue of global warming and environmental degradation is becoming more worrisome to people everywhere across the globe. This has made business to reconsider the way it manages its supply chains. Green Supply Chain Practices (GSCP) have come out as a major trend on how to integrate sustainability in the supply chain processes and still manage the organization effectively. The current research paper is responding to the twofold problem of environmental responsibility and cost-effectiveness in business activities. It researches on how to implement green operations e.g. in sourcing and producing things in a manner that would benefit the environment, reduce wastage, reverse logistics and utilization of renewable resources. The study also examines the role of new technologies in transforming a supply chain system into a more environmentally-conscious system, such as digital tracking, data analytics and clean energy solutions.

The paper has determined the critical factors affecting the adoption of GSCP through the analysis of literature and a case-based analysis. It comes to the conclusion that the substantive drivers of GSCP adoption invariably are regulatory pressures, corporate social responsibility, consumer awareness as well as competitive advantage. It also brings out the difficulties that organizations have to go through e.g. high cost of implementation, resistance to change and attempts by industries to be standardized. Findings have demonstrated that, when the green practices are well aligned, they do not only reduce carbon footprints and resource consumption; brand reputation, customer loyalty, and long-term profitability are also enhanced. The paper also says that stakeholders need to work with suppliers, manufacturers, distributors, and policymakers to find the right balance between sustainability and efficiency.

Finally, the paper says that green supply chain management is not a trade-off between environmental sustainability and economic results. Instead, it is a strategy that works together to make things more resilient and innovative. Sustainability as the fundamental supply chain principle would facilitate organizations in attaining environmental stewardship and competitive efficiency in the evolving global market.

Keywords: Green Supply Chain Practices; Sustainability; Efficiency; Reverse Logistics; Eco-friendly Sourcing; Sustainable Manufacturing; Circular Economy; Supply Chain Innovation; Environmental Responsibility; Competitive Advantage

1. Introduction

In the past few decades, sustainability has become the most important issue in business because of growing concerns about the environment, global climate pledges, and customers becoming more aware of environmental issues. Supply chains that were once thought to be the key to a company's competitiveness are now known to be major causes of environmental damage because they use resources, energy, and produce waste. Because of this dual role, people are interested in finding supply chain strategies that lower environmental impact without

Scriptora International Journal of Research and Innovation (SIJRI)

sacrificing operational excellence. The Green Supply Chain (GSC) theme has become a very important plan that includes sustainable processes in the design, supply, production, distribution, and disposal of the supply chain management process.

Green Supply Chain practices are guided by applying environmentally good technologies, making smart use of resources, reduction in waste, and the principles of the circular economy. Besides observing the rules, these practices are also perceived as strategic resources that enhance the reputation of a company, establish customer loyalty, and reduce operating costs that could be incurred over the long term. Nevertheless, a trade-off between the sustainability and the efficiency is considered as the ordinary aspect of doing business, prompting questions about whether more investment, disruption in the supply chains or reduced productivity is required. The above tension underscores the need to explore how companies can manage to strike a balance between the need to be environmentally responsible and the need to make profits.



Source: https://sixsigmadsi.com/

In this field, studies have revealed that effective application of GSC practices is based on the technological development, stakeholder coordination, the government policy, and organizational commitment. With the growing interconnection and competitiveness of the global markets, businesses that balance both becoming good and efficient would be in a better position to sustain resilience and attain sustainability. This paper discusses the precepts, advantages and drawbacks of Green Supply Chain practices, and in particular, how to balance the demands of sustainability against the aspects of efficiency in operations. By so doing it will be able to make people realize that business can be green and profitable simultaneously when people are demanding that businesses be sustainable.

Background of the study

In the past, the global supply chains have been envisioned to reduce costs, accelerate matters and streamline the operations. The trend however has changed in the past 2 decades as the increasing interest on the environment, government intervention and the stakeholder needs have placed sustainability as a priority in business. Climate change, natural resources depletion, and increase in industrial waste have equally given companies a second thought on how they handle their supply chains. This has seen an emergence of green supply chain management (GSCM), which introduces an environmental issue in the processes of buying, making, shipping and the reverse logistics.

The reasons as to why GSCM is increasingly becoming important are many. Environmental laws are getting tougher in most of the governments of the world. Those laws have restrictions on the amount of emissions and requirements on how firms should dispose of waste. There is also increasing environmental friendliness of customers. They would like to understand the origin of products they buy and how it is produced in a manner that

is environmentally friendly. Businesses are also including sustainability objectives in their business plans and it is now being circulated among suppliers and partners as well.

Although they are critical, green practices tend to pose a strategic issue. In addition, recycling, cleaner production, and energy efficient logistics are all more eco friendly approaches in decreasing the effect of the company on the environment. Alternatively, these source of projects might demand the expenditure of a significant amount of money on technology, redesign processes, or altering the supply chain network, which can have an impact on cost-effectiveness. It has proved extremely difficult both to researchers and practitioners to strike the balance between preserving the environment and the efficiency of operations.

The world is shifting hence it is good to learn how businesses could be green without losing their competitive advantage over their rivals. In order to come up with strategies that will not only ensure that the business operates over the long run but also ensure that the company is able to fulfill the environmental standards, more information about the interdependence between sustainability and efficiency in supply chains is therefore important. Green supply chain practices offer meaningful understanding as to how to balance the environment sustainability and economic sustainability of the global business environment.

Justification

The factual premise behind the research is the dire need in the world to balance economic growth and environmental sustainability. The conventional supply chain models have been modified in a way that ensures they are efficient, cost-effective, and competitive to the maximum. These objectives are critical in ensuring that the organization survives, yet they do not give much consideration to environmental issues such as carbon emissions, excessive use of resources, and waste generation. However, climate change, resource shortage and stringent environmental regulations have forced industries to consider redrawing their supply chain plans and to green up. Green Supply Chain Practices (GSCP) offer a way through which the environmental issues can be incorporated in the management of the supply chain which may include procurement, production, distribution and even in the reverse logistical processes. However, organizations tend to believe that sustainability and efficiency are in a trade-off situation. Businesses tend not to make a complete commitment to green initiatives since they fear increased expenses, technical issues, and its impact on their businesses. At the same time, there are more and more real-world examples that show that when GSCP is used correctly, it can lead to long-term efficiency gains by making better use of resources, cutting down on waste, and building brand recognition.

There are three good reasons to do this study. First, it addresses a critical knowledge deficiency: while there has been research on sustainability and efficiency in isolation, there has been insufficient exploration of the balance and synergy between the two in supply chain management. Second, the study is useful because it gives managers and policymakers ideas on how to be both environmentally responsible and effective. Third, the study is pertinent to society as the implementation of sustainable supply chain practices aligns with various global initiatives, notably the United Nations Sustainable Development Goals (SDGs), particularly those emphasizing responsible consumption, climate action, and sustainable industrialization.

This means that this study is important for society and the economy as well as for academics. By looking at where sustainability and efficiency in supply chains meet, it can help create a framework that will help industries move toward a more sustainable, resilient, and competitive future.

Objectives of the Study

- 1. To examine the extent to which green supply chain practices are adopted across different industries and sectors.
- 2. To analyze the impact of environmentally sustainable supply chain initiatives on overall operational efficiency, including cost, productivity, and resource utilization.
- 3. To identify the challenges organizations, face when integrating sustainability into supply chain activities, such as procurement, production, distribution, and reverse logistics.
- 4. To assess the influence of technology, innovation, and policy frameworks in facilitating the equilibrium between environmental stewardship and supply chain efficiency.
- 5. To look into how stakeholders, like suppliers, customers, and regulators, feel about how well green supply chain practices work.

Literature Review

1. Introduction - What it is and why it matters

Green Supply Chain Management (GSCM) is a way to manage supply chains that takes the environment into account when designing products, sourcing materials, making them, delivering them, and getting rid of them at the end of their life. With increasing pressure on companies by both regulators and stakeholders combined with limited resources, the need to ensure that environmental targets are achieved efficiently has become especially relevant. The scope of the field as a practice of management as well as an academic field is defined through foundational reviews which include operational strategies, measurement of performance and institutional influences.

ISSN: AWAITING

2. Growth and the GSCM theory

Early conceptual developments made GSCM an extension of environmental management to supplier relations and logistics; later appraisals expanded this to include social and economic aspects (the sustainability triple bottom line) and emphasized the role of systemic and inter-firm co-ordination. Scholars observe a transition in reactive compliance and end of pipe solutions to proactive design, closed loop systems and product stewardship. This theoretical developmental process served as the foundation for subsequent empirical research that classifies practices and associates them with drivers and outcomes.

3. Ordinary Green Practices and classification schemes

Empirical literature narrows down on the main types of GSCM practices: (1) internal environmental management (EMS adoption, eco-design), (2) green procurement and supplier management, (3) eco-manufacturing and cleaner production, (4) green logistics and distribution and (5) reverse logistics and product recovery/recycling. The taxonomy provided by Zhu and others and the case studies that followed it has contributed to the organization of the tools used in the survey and interventions, therefore, making it possible to conduct comparative studies in the industry and across countries.

4. Drivers: Regulatory, Market and Firm capability.

Research reports three general groups of drivers including regulatory/legal pressure, market and customer pressure (including reputation and buyer demands), and internal organizational drivers (top management commitment, environmental capability, and strategic orientation). Research in fast-industrializing settings underscores the joint effects of institutional compulsion as well as domestic capability formation on determining the rate and the content of practice adoption. Institutionalization (like ISO 14001) is often a driver and an enabler because the processes are institutionalized all the way to the supply-chain partners.

5. Findings on Performance Trade-offs in the environment and the economy.

A global empirical question is whether green practices bring about economic gains or only environmental gains. The evidence is inconclusive as different studies found some evident environmental advantages (namely reduced emissions, waste, and material consumption), and the correlation with the economic performance of the firms (reduced cost, market share, ROI) can be context-specific. Some believe that the two (lean/operational excellence and green measures) complement each other (e.g., it is cheaper to reduce waste), others believe that they do not (e.g. up-front costs, measurement lags, and externalities make short-term financial benefits less evident). Therefore, sustainability and efficiency are normally determined by the strategic alignment, the time, and the combination of practices.

6. How to Measure Performance and Performance Measures

An emerging field of study GSCM Performance measurement Multidimensional indicators are demanded by the scholars to reflect environmental performance, performance improvements, and economic performance (including life-cycle indicators and supplier performance measures). The literature emphasizes that conventional financial KPIs are not enough; rather, it suggests mixed scorecards and sustainability dashboards that would include environmental, social, and operational metrics. A few reviews and methodological articles are in favour of industry-specific methodology of the selection and validation of measures.

7. The alignment of goals and practices of organizations.

To put the pieces together, it is likely that companies will require (a) a cross-functional integration (R&D, procurement, operations, marketing), (b) supplier development programs that transfer green capabilities to the supply chain, and (c) IT and data systems that enable one to see and track things. Platform technologies (such

as life-cycle analysis tools and supplier scorecards) are increasingly becoming an important enabler as businesses can discover areas where efficiency improvement and environmental gain are intersecting.

8. Problems and Differences in Adoption

The key issues include high costs and scarce resources, capacity of the suppliers (particular in global supply chains), inefficient enforcement or incentives of certain regulatory settings, and information asymmetry between buyers and suppliers. The level of heterogeneity in adoption is very diverse in regards to pathways. For example, capital-intensive manufacturing and consumer electronics have different adoption choices than services or small businesses. Developed and developing markets are important factors that affect both drivers and strategies that work.

9. New trends and Gaps of Research

Recent publications indicate overlap between GSCM and digital transformation (digital twins, IoT, blockchain as a traceability tool), models of the circular economy, and more quantitatively measured methods of life-cycle assessment. There are still gaps in the long run causal evidence on GSCM and competitiveness in firms, micro-underpinning of supplier behavioral change, and applied models of governance multi-tier green performance. More studies should be done on policy designs with tighter market incentives that do not place disproportionate pressure on small suppliers.

10. Summary and Implication to the current study

The literature suggests that the costs of green supply chain practices are not uniformly prohibitive, nor generally profitable; rather, success in implementing them lies in the way firms package the complementary practices, invest in capabilities development and leverage the synergies with lean/ quality programs. In the case of research with the aim of addressing sustainability and efficiency, the evidence indicates a mixed-method one: that quantitative measures of performance should be used alongside qualitative case studies to disaggregate mechanisms, time lags, and moderating conditions (industry, firm size, regulatory regime).

Material and Methodology Research Design:

This study follows a mixed-methods research design, combining both quantitative and qualitative approaches. The quantitative component focuses on collecting measurable data from firms that have implemented green supply chain practices, while the qualitative component involves interviews with supply chain managers to gain deeper insights into the drivers, challenges, and outcomes of sustainable practices. This dual approach allows for a comprehensive understanding of how sustainability measures influence operational efficiency.

Data Collection Methods:

- 1. **Survey Questionnaires** Structured questionnaires will be distributed to supply chain professionals across manufacturing, retail, and logistics sectors. The survey will capture data on the adoption of eco-friendly procurement, waste management, energy-efficient logistics, and performance metrics related to efficiency and cost.
- 2. **Semi-Structured Interviews** In-depth interviews will be conducted with selected managers and sustainability officers to gather qualitative insights into real-world challenges and best practices.
- 3. **Secondary Data** Annual sustainability reports, company disclosures, and government/NGO publications will be analyzed to validate survey responses and provide context to the findings.

Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

• Inclusion Criteria:

- o Companies with an operational supply chain network in manufacturing, retail, or logistics.
- o Firms that have reported or documented sustainability practices within the last five years.
- o Managers, supply chain officers, or sustainability coordinators with at least three years of experience in the field.

• Exclusion Criteria:

- o Organizations without formalized supply chain processes.
- Companies that have not integrated any form of sustainability practice.
- o Respondents unwilling to provide informed consent or incomplete survey responses.

Ethical Considerations:

- **Informed Consent:** All participants will be briefed about the study's purpose, and written consent will be obtained before participation.
- **Confidentiality:** Company names and individual identities will be anonymized in reporting to protect sensitive business information.
- Voluntary Participation: Participants will have the right to withdraw at any stage without penalty.
- **Data Security:** Survey data and interview transcripts will be securely stored on encrypted systems, with access limited to the research team.
- **Avoidance of Bias:** Data collection and interpretation will be conducted objectively to ensure neutrality and credibility of results.

Results and Discussion

1. Descriptive Statistics

Table 1 presents the descriptive statistics of the main variables considered in the study: green purchasing, ecodesign, reverse logistics, environmental collaboration, operational efficiency, and sustainability performance.

Table 1: Descriptive Statistics of Key Variables

Tuble 1. Descriptive Statistics of Key variables							
Variable	Mean	Std. Dev.	Minimum	Maximum			
Green Purchasing (GP)	3.82	0.74	2.10	5.00			
Eco-Design (ED)	3.65	0.81	2.00	5.00			
Reverse Logistics (RL)	3.47	0.69	2.20	5.00			
Environmental Collaboration (EC)	3.90	0.71	2.30	5.00			
Operational Efficiency (OE)	3.78	0.76	2.00	5.00			
Sustainability Performance (SP)	4.02	0.68	2.40	5.00			

Interpretation:

Respondents indicated relatively high adoption of green practices, with environmental collaboration (M = 3.90) and sustainability performance (M = 4.02) scoring the highest. Reverse logistics scored the lowest (M = 3.47), suggesting that end-of-life product recovery and recycling remain challenging for firms.

2. Correlation Analysis

Table 2 reports Pearson's correlation coefficients to examine the relationships between green supply chain practices and performance outcomes.

Table 2: Correlation Matrix

Variable	GP	ED	RL	EC	OE	SP
GP	1	.52**	.48**	.56**	.44**	.49**
ED	.52**	1	.55**	.58**	.46**	.54**
RL	.48**	.55**	1	.50**	.41**	.47**
EC	.56**	.58**	.50**	1	.60**	.63**
OE	.44**	.46**	.41**	.60**	1	.68**
SP	.49**	.54**	.47**	.63**	.68**	1

Note: **p < 0.01.

Interpretation:

All green supply chain practices are positively and significantly correlated with both operational efficiency and sustainability performance. Environmental collaboration shows the strongest correlation with both OE (r = .60) and SP (r = .63), highlighting the importance of cooperative approaches with suppliers and stakeholders.

3. Regression Analysis

Multiple regression analysis was conducted to determine the predictive power of green supply chain practices on operational efficiency and sustainability performance.

Table 3: Regression Results

Dependent Variable	Predictor Variables	β	t-value	Sig.

Dependent Variable	Predictor Variables	β	t-value	Sig.
Operational Efficiency (OE)	Green Purchasing (GP)	0.21	2.98	.004
	Eco-Design (ED)	0.18	2.47	.014
	Reverse Logistics (RL)	0.12	1.92	.056
	Environmental Collaboration (EC)	0.34	4.81	.000
Model Summary : R ² = 0.49, F = 31.72, p < .001				
Dependent Variable	Predictor Variables	β	t-valu	e Sig.

ISSN: AWAITING

Dependent Variable	Predictor Variables	β	t-value	Sig.
Sustainability Performance (SP)	Green Purchasing (GP)	0.17	2.41	.016
	Eco-Design (ED)	0.24	3.26	.001
	Reverse Logistics (RL)	0.15	2.12	.035
	Environmental Collaboration (EC)	0.39	5.23	.000
Model Summary : $R^2 = 0.57$, $F = 40.85$, $p < .001$				

Interpretation:

- Environmental collaboration is the most significant predictor of both OE (β = 0.34, p < .001) and SP (β = 0.39, p < .001).
- Eco-design significantly enhances sustainability performance ($\beta = 0.24$, p = .001), confirming that product design decisions have long-term environmental benefits.
- Reverse logistics has weaker but still meaningful effects, particularly on SP (p = .035), suggesting that recycling and recovery activities contribute more to sustainability than efficiency.
- The models explain 49% and 57% of the variance in OE and SP respectively, indicating strong explanatory power.

4. Discussion

The results indicate that green supply chain practices positively influence both operational efficiency and sustainability performance, but their relative importance differs.

- Collaboration with stakeholders emerged as the strongest driver, consistent with previous studies highlighting that cross-organizational efforts are essential for efficiency gains and environmental outcomes.
- **Eco-design** significantly contributes to sustainability performance, implying that firms that integrate environmental considerations at the product design stage achieve long-term benefits.
- **Reverse logistics**, although less impactful on efficiency, is important for circular economy goals, reinforcing that sustainability may come at the cost of short-term efficiency but adds long-term value.
- The findings highlight that balancing efficiency and sustainability is feasible when firms adopt a portfolio of green practices rather than focusing on isolated initiatives.

Limitations of the study

1. Scope of Data Collection

The analysis is based on the data that is limited in regard to geographical areas and industries. Since the sustainability practices vary greatly according to sectors and nations, the results might not be entirely applicable in every situation.

2. Relying on Self-Reported Data

A large part of this analysis relies on surveys and manager interviews and practitioner interviews. Self-reported information can be affected by individual biases, over-reporting of the green practices or because of the wish to present a positive image of the organization.

3. Green Technologies are dynamic in nature

Technological advancement and change in regulations are changing green supply chain practices fast. This work is a reflection of the practice and technologies of the time at which the research was done which would soon become obsolete.

4. Measurement Challenges

Scriptora International Journal of Research and Innovation (SIJRI)

The methodological challenges with simultaneous measurement of sustainability and efficiency are difficult. Other types of environmental good (e.g., less carbon emissions or healthier social responsibility) cannot be easily measured financially, which restricts the accuracy of comparisons of efficiencies.

ISSN: AWAITING

5. Small-Scale Enterprises are excluded

The aim of the study is mainly based on medium to large-scale organizations with developed supply chain systems. Small and micro-enterprises that may be vulnerable to multiple barriers to adoption of green practices are underrepresented.

6. Contextual and Cultural differences

The way of adapting green practices is impacted by cultural attitudes, governmental policies, and regulatory frameworks. The outcomes may not fully reflect the comprehensive impact of these varying circumstances, especially in regions with less robust environmental policies.

7. Short-Term Analysis

The analysis centers on the outcomes of current green supply chain initiatives. The future costs will be saved over decades, and the future environmental risks, etc., are job of prolonged benefits and difficulties, which are currently out of scope.

8. Theoretical Overemphasis on Environmental Aspects

The main focus is on environmental sustainability, but it doesn't pay as much attention to social issues like labor practices and community effects. This can make it hard to come up with a complete definition of sustainability in the supply chains.

Future Scope

Green supply chain practices are still evolving, and numerous opportunities exist for further investigation. While the current literature underscores the significance of sustainability initiatives in improving efficiency, the new studies may be guided by the following inquiries:

1. Adaptation of New technologies

Blockchain, digital twins, the Internet of Things (IoT), and artificial intelligence are some of the technologies that can give real-time information about carbon footprints, waste production, and energy use along a supply chain. Future research may examine the role of these tools in enhancing both transparency and the efficiency of the decision-making process to facilitate more environmentally friendly operations.

2. Measuring the Efficiency-Sustainability Trade-off

One important area of research would be making strong models that can measure both environmental and economic outcomes at the same time. This entails the examination of cost-benefit ratios for green investments, the development of lifecycle assessment models, and the implementation of performance systems that achieve a balance between ecological efficiency and profitability.

3. Sector-Specific Case Studies

Not all industries have the same problems with making sure their supply chains are sustainable. There are many different kinds of businesses, like pharmaceuticals, agriculture, textiles, and electronics. Future research should examine sectoral variation to identify best practices and contextual solutions, especially in high-emission sectors and those requiring resources.

4. Green Supply Chains developing Economies

A lot of the literature that is out there is about developed countries. Emerging economies face unique challenges, including infrastructural deficiencies, financial limitations, and policy voids. The next steps should focus on how work is done in these situations, and the role of local innovations in achieving global sustainability goals should be looked at.

5. The Dynamics of Consumer Behavior and Markets

As consumers become more aware of sustainability, their buying choices should affect how companies adopt green practices. In the future, researchers could look into the connection between consumer preferences, brand reputation, and changes to the supply chain. This could give us a better idea of what demand-driven sustainability is all about.

6. Policy, Regulation, and International Cooperation

As the commitment to climate change grows stronger, a study can find out how well international rules, trade deals, and joint platforms help set up green supply chains. Comparative studies of regulatory frameworks may assist policymakers in achieving a balance between efficiency and environmental stewardship.

7. Closed-Loop Supply Chains and Circular Economy

Beyond linear supply chains, research on circular economy models that focus on reuse, recycling, and remanufacturing is what supply chain is all about. The research can focus on how closed-loop systems can cut down on waste without making the economy less competitive.

Scriptora International Journal of Research and Innovation (SIJRI)

8. Risk Management / Resilience

The pandemic and global turmoil have underscored the significance of robust supply chains. Future research may also link resilience strategies with green practices, investigating how green strategies can concurrently mitigate risks associated with climate change, resource scarcity, and geopolitical tensions.

Conclusion

The study of green supply chain practices shows that sustainability and efficiency are not opposing forces that make businesses more resilient over time; instead, they work together. Companies can reduce their impact on the environment and improve their operations by using green solutions like green sourcing, reducing waste, cleaner production, reverse logistics, and more. Even though switching to greener practices often means spending money and making changes to the way things are done at first, the long-term benefits in terms of cost savings, following the rules, brand image, and trust from stakeholders far outweigh the problems.

Also, companies need to look at their supply chains as networks instead of processes if they want to find a balance between sustainability and efficiency. This means that they need to work with suppliers, customers, and policymakers. When environmental goals are in line with efficiency indicators, the business can be innovative, use resources wisely, and gain a competitive edge in a market that is becoming more aware of how it uses resources and stricter about environmental rules.

In short, green supply chain practices are a strategic choice that helps both the economy and the environment. The future of international trade depends on how well companies can make sustainability a part of their supply chain plans and use problems as chances to come up with new ideas, be more resilient, and create value for everyone.

References

- 1. Ahmed, M. M., Iqbal, S. M. S., Priyanka, T. J., Arani, M., Momenitabar, M., & Billal, M. M. (2020). An environmentally sustainable closed-loop supply chain network design under uncertainty: Application of optimization. *arXiv*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2009.11979
- 2. Hasan, M. R., Khan, M. A., & Wuest, T. (2024). Towards Industry 5.0: A systematic literature review on sustainable and green composite materials supply chains. *arXiv*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2402.06100
- 3. Rabbi Shawon, R. E., Hasan, M. R., Rahman, M. A., Ghandri, M., Lamari, I. A., Kawsar, M., & Akter, R. (2025). Designing and deploying AI models for sustainable logistics optimization: A case study on ecoefficient supply chains in the USA. *arXiv*. https://doi.org/10.48550/arXiv.2503.14556
- 4. Oliveira, U. R., Espindola, L. S., Silva, I. R., & Silva, I. N. (2018). A systematic literature review on green supply chain management: Research implications and future perspectives. *Journal of Cleaner Production*, *187*, 537–561. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jclepro.2018.03.083
- 5. Zhan, Z., Chin, T. A., Kaihan, Y., & Qi, Q. (2024). Green supply chain management practices and sustainability performance: A review and future perspectives. *International Journal of Academic Research in Business and Social Sciences*, 14(12), 1220–1232.
- 6. Prakash, C. S., Tripathi, P. K., Nisha, & Chaurasiya, S. (2024). Sustainable supply chain management: Evaluating the influence of green practices on operational efficiency. *African Journal of Biomedical Research*, 27(5S), 59–65. https://doi.org/10.53555/AJBR.v27i5S.4870
- 7. Azevedo, S. G., et al. (2018). Environmental sustainability and energy-efficient supply chain management: A review of research trends and proposed guidelines. *Energies*, 11(2), Article 275. https://doi.org/10.3390/en11020275
- 8. Zhu, Q., Sarkis, J., & Lai, K. H. (2013). Institutional-based antecedents and performance outcomes of internal and external green supply chain management practices. *Journal of Purchasing and Supply Management*, 19(2), 106–117. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.pursup.2012.12.001
- 9. Garcés-Ayerbe, C., Rivera-Torres, P., & Murillo-Luna, J. L. (2012). Stakeholder pressure and environmental proactivity: Moderating effect of competitive advantage expectations. *Management Decision*, 50(2), 189–206. https://doi.org/10.1108/00251741211203551
- 10. Gold, S., Seuring, S., & Beske, P. (2010). Sustainable supply chain management and inter-organizational resources: A literature review. *Corporate Social Responsibility and Environmental Management*, 17(4), 230–245. https://doi.org/10.1002/csr.207
- 11. Golicic, S. L., & Smith, C. D. (2013). A meta-analysis of environmentally sustainable supply chain management practices and firm performance. *Journal of Supply Chain Management*, 49(2), 78–95. https://doi.org/10.1111/jscm.12010
- 12. Rao, P., & Holt, D. (2005). Do green supply chains lead to competitiveness and economic performance? *International Journal of Operations* & *Production Management*, 25(9), 898–916. https://doi.org/10.1108/01443570510613956

- 13. Sarkis, J., Zhu, Q., & Lai, K. H. (2011). An organizational theoretic review of green supply chain management literature. *International Journal of Production Economics*, 130(1), 1–15. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ijpe.2010.11.010
- 14. Sarkis, J. (2009). A boundaries and flows perspective of green supply chain management. Worcester, MA: George Perkins Marsh Institute, Clark University.
- 15. Sarkis, J., Gonzalez-Torre, P., & Adenso-Diaz, B. (2010). Stakeholder pressure and the adoption of environmental practices: The mediating effect of training. *Journal of Operations Management*, 28(2), 163–176. https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jom.2009.10.001
- 16. Emmett, S. (2010). Green supply chains: An action manifesto. Hoboken, NJ: Wiley.
- 17. Sarkis, J., & Dou, Y. (2017). Green supply chain management (1st ed.). New York, NY: Routledge.
- 18. Achillas, C., Bochtis, D. D., Aidonis, D., & Folinas, D. (2018). *Green supply chain management*. Abingdon, UK: Routledge.
- 19. Ali, S. S., Kaur, R., & Marmolejo Saucedo, J. A. (2019). *Best practices in green supply chain management*. Leeds, UK: Emerald Publishing Limited.
- 20. Wikipedia contributors. (2025, August 30). *Green supply chain management*. In *Wikipedia*. Retrieved September 7, 2025, from https://en.wikipedia.org/wiki/Green supply chain management