



The Role of Attentional Bias in Predicting Depression Severity: A Cognitive Perspective

Samrin Firdaus

PG Student

Department of Psychology

JAIN (Deemed-to-be University)

Abstract

Attentional bias is one of the cognitive processes involved that guides the perception, interpretation, and response to emotional information. People with depression might have a heightened sensitivity to negative cues, such as negative experiences, negative feedback, negative memories, and less sensitivity to positive cues. These types of attention can be a factor in the maintenance of depressive thinking and emotional problems. A cognitive perspective is explored in this paper of the role of attentional bias in predicting depression severity and especially the relationship between selective attention, negative information processing, and depressive symptoms. It covers the role of attention on emotion regulation, self-appraisal and everyday perception. The paper also explores the theoretical and clinical implications of attentional bias in the early identification of people who are at risk of more severe symptoms of depression and its potential implications for cognitive-based psychological interventions. These cognitive mechanisms might be useful for researchers and mental health clinicians to use in designing more effective assessments and treatment for depression. The paper does highlight the need for further empirical exploration of the attentional bias x individual differences x depression severity interaction in different populations. This relationship may be better understood to foster better psychological evaluation and more beneficial interventions to address maladaptive thoughts and promote emotional wellness.

Keywords: Attentional bias, depression severity, cognitive perspective, negative information processing, selective attention, cognitive mechanisms, emotional regulation.

Publication History

Received: 14 July 2026 **Accepted:** 20 August 2026, **Published:** 25 September 2026



Open Access (BOAI Compliant) | © 2026 Author(s). Published by SIJRI under Creative Commons CC BY-NC 4.0 License (<https://creativecommons.org/licenses/by-nc/4.0/>). Official signatory to BOAI.

1. Introduction

Depression is a crucial mental health issue that impacts an individual's emotional state, thinking processes, relationships, and standard of living. It is often accompanied by chronic sadness, loss of interest, low self-esteem, tiredness, and trouble concentrating and making decisions. Depression can be attributed to a combination of biological, psychological and social factors; however, cognitive psychology can offer a valuable perspective on how individuals process emotional information and how this processing might relate to the onset and maintenance of depression. Attentional bias, the ability to attend to some information and ignore or ignore less other information, is one process that has been much investigated in this context. This bias can manifest as paying more attention to negative emotional information in the experience of depression: criticism, failure, rejection, and negative personal experiences. A specific type of attentional bias is relevant to depression: attentional bias towards interpreting the environment, remembering past experiences, and reacting to emotional events in the surrounding environment. People with depressive symptoms find it hard to tune out from negative information even when positive or neutral information is available. For instance, a person who gets both positive and negative feedback might focus too much on the negative feedback and not listen to the positive feedback. When the pattern of selective attention become repeated, it can reinforce negative



interpretations of everyday life and lead to chronic feelings of futility, guilt and unworthiness. But the link between attentional bias and depression is complicated by the fact that attentional patterns may vary depending on the severity of depression, the type of emotional stimuli and individual differences in cognitive and emotional control. Cognitively speaking, attentional bias is closely linked to theoretical explanations of depression which focus on the information processing of negative information. According to Beck's cognitive theory, depressive experiences are linked to negative thoughts about self, world, and future. Such beliefs could impact the way people focus on emotional information, making negative information more salient in awareness. Likewise, cognitive models suggest that negative interpretations and ruminative thoughts may be fuelled by biased attention to negative thoughts, which can sustain depressive symptoms over long periods. However, attentional bias is not the same in all individuals suffering from depression. The results of research can vary across different measures of attention, different emotional content of stimuli, and different populations studied. Another dimension in understanding of this relationship is the severity of depression. People experience depression to varying degrees, mild to more severe, debilitating, and persistent. The extent to which selective attention to negative information is related to symptom severity may be better understood by examining if this attentional bias varies by depression severity. Attentional bias may be a promising cognitive marker for further investigation if stronger negative attentional is related to more severe depressive symptoms. Such an association would not imply that attentional bias is the cause of depression or that it can predict the clinical status of a particular person without having other factors. A number of additional factors such as rumination, anxiety, emotional regulation, stress exposure and personal history may also modify the link between the attentional processes and depressive symptoms.

The understanding of attentional bias could have implications for psychological assessment and intervention. Researchers can use cognitive assessment techniques to explore the way that people react to positive, negative and neutral information. Further, there has been an interest in interventions targeting attention, such as attention bias modification techniques, as potential strategies for altering the maladaptive information processing patterns. The effectiveness of their interventions, however, might vary according to the intervention design, individual characteristics, and clinical context. Further research is needed to clarify if the attentional bias offers any additional information to other validated psychological measures besides depression severity. That is the background for the present study, which was entitled "The Role of Attentional Bias in Predicting Depression Severity: A Cognitive Perspective." It is designed to investigate the relationship between attentional processing differences and depression severity as well as to assess the ability of attentional bias to predict changes in depression severity. The study aims to further research on the psychological mechanisms underlying the depression by concentrating on the cognitive mechanisms of emotional information processing. The results might provide insights for future studies of cognitive assessment, early detection of depressive symptoms, and evidence-based psychological treatments.

2. Background of the study

Depression is a psychological disorder that is defined by a state of sadness that lasts for an extended period of time, loss of interest in activities, changes in sleep and appetite, a decrease in concentration and a sense of worthlessness or hopelessness. It can differ in severity for different people – it depends on biological, psychological and environmental factors. Cognitively, how people think about and value information is important in understanding symptoms of depression. Attentional bias, in particular, has been much discussed in the context of this, as it is the tendency to pay attention to some information and ignore other available stimuli. The negative emotional information, such as criticism, failure, rejection, and sadness may be attended to more than the positive information, particularly in individuals with depressive symptoms.

Negative thinking patterns have been suggested to play a role in the onset and maintenance of depressive experiences, as theorized in cognitive models of depression. People who have routinely experienced a negative event may struggle to shift their focus away from the negative thoughts toward neutral or positive thoughts. This pattern can foster negative experiences and interpretations of experiences, and have a negative effect on one's self-concept, their environment, and their future. Attentional bias can be different for different people though. It can be different in intensity depending on the emotional state, different in nature depending on the person's cognitive abilities, and different depending on the severity of depressive symptoms.



Attentional bias can include a number of cognitive processes: First, orienting attention to emotional stimuli; Second, failure to disengage from negative information; Third, recurrently attending to negative thoughts or experiences. These processes can be studied using experimental tasks like the emotional Stroop, Dot-Probe, eye tracking etc. These techniques enable researchers to explore the reactions of individuals to emotional information and to determine if specific attention patterns are linked to depression. However, the results of attentional-bias studies have not always been reliable. These variations may be partially attributed to methodological differences in research, choice of stimulus, participants' characteristics, and the measurement of depressive symptoms.

This correlation is particularly interesting because depression can progress along a spectrum, from more mild to more severe and persistent difficulties. Identifying the differences in attentional patterns across different levels of symptom severity may provide clues to understanding the underlying cognitive mechanisms involved in depressive experiences. Attentional bias can be considered as a source of information for psychological evaluation and study if better attention to negative information is correlated with the severity of depression. However, it is also important to note that an association does not prove that attentional bias leads to depression, nor does it prove that it is a reliable measure of symptom severity for all people. This relationship needs to be confirmed and quantified by longitudinal studies and suitable predictive analyses.

The results of previous research confirm the relevance of attentional processes in depression, but also suggest several methodological differences. The evidence for attentional bias to negative information, especially in the dot-probe task, was reported on by Peckham et al. (2010). In eye-tracking studies, similarly, Suslow et al. (2020) reported that dysphoric memory was linked to an enhanced attention maintenance to dysphoric information as well as a weaker attentional approach to positive stimuli in clinical depression. In more recent times, Hur et al. (2026) conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of 87 studies which concluded that selective attention impairments were seen in many adults with MDD. The quantitative evidence for negative attentional bias was, however, contingent on the task, highlighting the importance of using and interpreting measures carefully.

In this light, the aim of the present study is to investigate whether attentional bias is related to depression severity leveling, from a cognitive viewpoint, taking into consideration individual differences in attentional bias. The study is aimed at adding to the understanding of the cognitive patterns associated with depressive symptoms by examining the allocation of attention to negative, positive, and neutral information. It might also provide a clue as to whether attentional-bias measures have some utility in research and psychological assessment, but should not be used as a diagnostic tool. This relationship could be further explored in future research to better understand the link between cognition and vulnerability and guide the design of tailored psychological interventions.

3. Objectives of the Study

1. To assess the relationship between attentional bias and the severity of depressive symptoms among individuals.
2. To identify the patterns of attentional bias, particularly attention toward negative information and difficulty disengaging from negative stimuli, among individuals experiencing varying levels of depressive symptoms.
3. To examine the association between attentional bias and cognitive processes such as selective attention, information processing, and emotional regulation.
4. To determine the extent to which attentional bias predicts depression severity after considering relevant demographic and psychological factors.
5. To explore whether different dimensions of attentional bias are associated with mild, moderate, and severe levels of depressive symptoms.

4. Literature Review

The Role of Attentional Bias in Predicting Depression Severity: A Cognitive Perspective:

Attentional bias has garnered much attention in cognitive psychology as it can impact the way information that is emotionally salient is processed, interpreted and reacted to. Selective attention is the tendency to focus on some stimuli and ignore or tune out other stimuli. When depression is the focus of study, scientists have studied the possibility that people with depression tend to pay more attention to negative information, such as negative facial expressions, negative words, and negative personal experiences. It is crucial to recognise these cognitive patterns as they can be a factor in



the maintenance of depressive thinking and may account for variations in the severity of symptoms.

Depression has been linked, from a cognitive perspective, to information processing patterns that will perpetuate negative self, environment and future beliefs. Beck (1967) stated that depressive symptoms are related to negative schemas of cognition, a tendency to think in certain ways about situations. These schemas can affect attention, either by facilitating the retrieval of negative information or by making it of personal relevance. Thus, attentional bias could form part of a range of cognitive processes related to the severity of depression, but it may be different for different individuals and experimental environments.

Cognitive biases have also been the focus of research and these studies have highlighted that depression is not a single information processing mechanism. Mathews and MacLeod (2005) addressed the role of cognitive biases in emotional vulnerability and psychological disorders, and the need to consider the interplay between attention, interpretation and memory. Their work is the theoretical framework for research and investigation into whether attentional bias is linked to the severity of depressive symptoms rather than being an isolated characteristic of depression.

Attentional Bias and Depression Severity:

Empirical results indicate that there is a relation between negative attentional bias and depression; however, the magnitude and consistency of this relationship varies somewhat depending on the method used to measure attention. In a meta-analysis of 29 studies, Peckham et al. (2010) reported evidence of attentional bias in depressed individuals, particularly showing significant group differences in studies that employed the dot-probe task. Results of the emotional Stroop task, however, were not as clear. This categorization suggests that the relationship between attention and depressive symptoms could vary across different types of cognitive processes tested using different experimental techniques.

Mogg & Bradley (2005) made a comparison of attentional bias results for depression and anxiety. They determined that there was less evidence for attention to negative external cues for depression compared to anxiety. The attentional bias was noted in depression, especially with regard to negative information related to the person and conditions that permitted more complex processing. Their results indicate that the personal relevance and length or intensity of processing could affect the link between attentional bias and severity of depression.

Negatively biased attention, interpretation, thought and memory were described in relation to one another and reviewed with regard to neural mechanisms of cognitive models of depression (Disner et al., 2011). They agree with this notion that attentional bias is the part of a larger cognitive process. People who are constantly ruminating on negative content can be more inclined to stay stuck with the negative content, which can further strengthen negative interpretations and rumination. However, the relationship can be two-way: mood can also affect person's noticing and processing of information.

Nature Reviews Neuroscience:

Koster et al. (2011) investigated the role of attentional processes in depression, and emphasized the need to consider initial attention to negative information and challenges disengaging from it. These processes may have varying implications for depressive symptoms. For instance, attention to negative stimuli could be quick while attention to sadness related items is longer. Thus, studies that employ models predicting depression severity should avoid using attentional bias as a one-size-fits-all model, and instead should break it down into attentional engagement, attentional disengagement, and sustained attention.

Cognitive Control, Rumination, and Negative Information Processing:

Attentional bias can also be related to attentional control over the processing of negative information. Cognitive control helps people to keep track of their task goals, to change their attention when they need to, and to suppress irrelevant information. If this control is less effective, negative material can dominate attention to a greater extent and can be harder to shift away from towards more neutral or positive experiences.

The research group of LeMoult et al. conducted a systematic review and meta-analysis of cognitive control biases in depression, and found that individuals with vulnerabilities to depression (those with current or remitted major depressive disorder and those with increased depression symptomatology) reported deficits in the control of attention to negative stimuli. Findings indicate that the issues with cognitive control may be part of the vulnerability and persistence of depression. The authors acknowledged, though, methodological shortcomings and the need for separating various types of cognitive control.



Attention Bias Modification and Its Implications:

Attention bias modification research has looked at the effect of attention modification on reducing depressive symptoms. Xia et al. (2023) performed a systematic review and meta-analysis of 19 trials and 1262 subjects. Their results suggested attention bias modification resulted in improvements in depressive symptoms and rumination relative to attention-control conditions. The authors pointed out however, significant differences between studies, methodological limitations of the evidence and a need for better quality trials. Therefore, attention bias modification is yet a viable research strategy but not yet a definitive depression treatment.

These findings have implications for the prediction of the severity of depression because they suggest that attentional processes are not only linked to the symptoms of depression, but might also play a role in their maintenance. However, even if the findings of intervention were found to be true, they would not prove that attentional bias leads to more severe depression. Scientists need to be able to differentiate between association, prediction and causation. It is possible to use longitudinal studies to assess whether the attentional bias comes before the changes in depressive symptoms, and experimental studies to investigate whether changing attentional patterns leads to changes in mood.

Recent Evidence and Methodological Considerations:

Hur et al. (2026) recently reviewed and meta-analyzed the literature on selective attention in adults with M.D.D., a topic of particular relevance. They examined 87 studies, 73 of which found differences in selective attention between the depressed participants and the comparison groups. The quantitative synthesis revealed, however, that negative attentional bias significantly differed only in the dot-probe task, but not across the other task types analyzed. The dot-probe studies also revealed age as an effect modifier for negative attentional bias. The results stress the need for careful choice of attention measures, and the need to take participant factors into account when exploring depression severity.

An important methodological question is the validity of measures of attentional bias. Tasks like the dot-probe and the emotional Stroop are different, but similar processes are being measured, and the results could be influenced by reaction time variability, stimuli properties, and the design of the task. A single task score can thus be an insufficient indicator of individual attentional tendencies. Multiple indicators should be used, where allowed and reliability, effect size and participant characteristics should be reported.

Another question is whether current symptoms of depression differ from a diagnosis of major depression disorder (MDD). People who have mild or moderate symptoms may show different attentional patterns compared to people who have severe clinical depression or who have recovered from depression. The effects of potential confounding factors, such as anxiety symptoms, medication use, age, sleep quality and rumination should be considered in studies as well. These factors could affect attention performance and attentional bias severity-depression association.

5. Material and Methodology

The present study is an attempt to investigate the relationship between attentional bias and the severity of depressive symptoms using quantitative research with the title "The Role of Attentional Bias in Predicting Depression Severity: A Cognitive Perspective." The study can use a cross-sectional correlational research design to determine whether or not there are differences in the attention given to negative emotional information that are correlated with differences in depression severity. Adult participants age 18 years and older can be sampled using purposive or convenience sampling, depending upon the attributes and accessibility of the target population. Depressive symptom severity may be measured using a standardized psychological tool, such as the Beck Depression Inventory-II (BDI-II) or the Patient Health Questionnaire-9 (PHQ-9). Attentional bias can be assessed with a validated cognitive task like a dot-probe task or emotional Stroop task, in which participants are asked to respond to stimuli with positive, negative and neutral emotional content. Performance in terms of correctness of responses and reaction time can be measured to explore attention. Demographic data such as age, gender and level of education may also be gathered to characterize the sample and look for confounding variables. The data gathered will be analyzed by descriptive statistics, Pearson correlation, Spearman correlation, as appropriate, and multiple regression analysis to see if attentional bias is a significant predictor of depression severity, controlling for relevant demographic factors. Assessment of statistical significance can be based on a level of 0.05 with the corresponding assumptions of the tests selected. All of the following ethical principles should be observed during the research process: Informed consent, Confidentiality, Voluntary participation and Rights to withdraw. Those participants who indicate significant amounts of depressive symptoms should receive suitable information about the availability of qualified mental health services. Data collection should be approved by the appropriate institutional committee in an ethical manner.



6. Results and Discussion

The findings of the study on the attentional bias and depression severity can be discussed as follows: Negative information processing is related to depression symptoms. Attentional bias is the tendency to attend more easily to negative or emotionally arousing information, and less to neutral or positive information. This style can impact thinking about daily life, coping with interpersonal problems, and self- and environmental appraisal. Those with more severe depressive symptoms may exhibit more problems in disengaging attention from negative information, leading to increased levels of sadness, hopelessness and negative self-assessment. The correlation between these two, however, can be strong, to a degree, depending on the individual, his or her emotional state, and the type of measure of attentional processes.

Understanding the link between attentional bias and the severity of depression can be achieved by the tenet of existing cognitive theories of depression. People with depressive symptoms may be more attuned to information pertaining to failure, rejection, loss, or personal inadequacy. Exposure to such information can become repetitive and can help to reinforce negative interpretations of experiences and reduce the impact of positive or neutral experiences. For instance, if a student who is being given positive and negative feedback concentrates too much on one of the critical comments and ignores evidence of successful work, this may be a problem. A repeat of this pattern can reinforce negative beliefs and lead to emotional distress. However, attentional bias is not the only factor leading to depression, as biological, psychological, social and environmental factors can all interact to cause depressive symptoms.

The results could also be compared to the predictive value of attentional bias. The results of this statistical analysis show that if there is a significant correlation between the negative attentional bias and the level of depression, this indicates that the patterns of attention may prove to be a useful cognitive measure of depression. When there is a greater negative bias, or a stronger focus on negative information, this could be related to higher symptom scores, especially when people repeatedly pay attention to emotionally threatening or self-critical information. A statistically significant association does not necessarily mean that attentional bias is an independent predictor of depression severity. A more satisfactory conclusion would necessitate appropriate predictive analysis, consideration of other confounding variables and evidence that the relationship would still remain meaningful, after other psychological factors were taken into account. Anxiety, rumination, stress exposure and previous depressive experiences can impact attentional patterns and depressive scores.

One additional point is that there might be a bidirectional association between attentional bias and depression. Depression may affect attentional selection, processing, and interpretation of emotional information, and negative attentional patterns may in turn help to maintain the depressive symptoms. The relationship between attentional bias and emotional difficulties indicates that attentional bias can be a vulnerability or a result of long-standing emotional problems. This is vital to distinguish because a cross-sectional study can only find relationships between variables, not directionality. It would therefore be useful to undertake a longitudinal study to investigate whether attentional bias is a preexisting risk factor for development of depressive symptoms, an accompanying characteristic, or a worsening of the characteristic throughout the duration of emotional distress.

It may also have implications for psychological evaluation and treatment. Such insight could be valuable in the design of mental health and medical professionals to better understand an individual's attention to negative information and develop a more comprehensive picture of cognitive processes associated with depression. Cognitive behavioural therapies can help to highlight negative interpretations that are used routinely, consider the evidence for the negative interpretation and find alternative ways to respond to emotional situations. In addition, attention-focused interventions could be considered as adjunctive treatments but have yet to be proven effective via well-designed clinical trials. Attentional bias measures are not intended to be used alone to diagnose depression or determine clinical status; they are used in conjunction with an assessment of depression symptoms using a validated instrument and professional judgment.

Even with these possible contributions, it is important to remember that the results of the study will be interpreted in the light of the research design, the sample, the instruments used to measure the variables, and the statistical procedures. Even with computer-based attention tasks, however, the complexity of attention may not be reflected in all contexts, and the same results can be found in some tasks but not others. Changes in response style and transitory moods may also be a factor in self-reported depression measures. Future research might explore these limitations, involving a more diverse group of participants, incorporating different versions of attentional bias measures, and incorporate rumination, anxiety, emotional regulation and cognitive flexibility. Experimental and longitudinal designs further could clarify whether attentional pattern changes are related to subsequent depression severity changes.



In general, the cognitive approach can be used as a tool to consider the relationship between attentional bias and the severity and persistence of depression symptoms. Tendency to focus on negative information can reinforce unhelpful thinking patterns, which can lead to problems with regulating emotions. The relationship should, however, be viewed with some caution and any assertion of predictive power should be backed up by results of the actual statistical analysis of the study. An improved understanding of attentional bias can help develop more complete models of depression and guide future studies on cognitive assessment and psychological treatment.

7. Limitations of the study

There are some limitations to this study that need to be taken into account when interpreting the findings. This study has some limitations that should be considered when interpreting the findings. Attentional bias and depression severity can differ between people depending on their age, life experiences, emotional state, cultural background and life situation. Thus, results may not be applicable to other groups. Second, a self-reported questionnaire might have the disadvantage of introducing response bias, individual conceptions, or problems in describing emotional experiences, which could impact the results of the study. Moreover, attentional bias assessment tasks do not necessarily reflect the complexity of attention processes in natural settings, because the results can vary due to fatigue, motivation and environmental distractions. When a cross-sectional research design is used, it can be challenging to determine whether attentional bias is a factor in making a person more depressed or whether being depressed affects attentional processing. The relationship between attentional bias and depression severity can also be influenced by other factors including for example anxiety, stress, sleep quality, and past mental health experiences. Third, the results of the research might be limited to other populations because of sampling size and selection of participants and the nature of the participating population. These limitations could be addressed in future research by including larger and more heterogeneous samples, longitudinal designs, various assessment techniques, and attention to psychological and demographic factors that are relevant.

8. Conclusion

Cognitive processes and attentional bias are significant factors to consider when understanding the link between depression severity and cognitive processes. The cognitive profile of people with depressive symptoms is characterized by poorly balanced attention to negative information, negative interpretation of ambiguous situations, and the inability to distract attention from the negative information and experiences. These patterns can be linked to continued negative thinking and emotional issues and over time can worsen depressive symptoms. An analysis of attentional bias may thus be useful in understanding the cognitive processes underpinning depression and identify those who may be at risk for worse depression symptoms. The association between attentional bias and depression severity could be different among different people and in different settings, though, which is why more empirical research is needed. These patterns should be investigated in other age groups and in other populations, with the use of valid results of cognitive testing and with longitudinal design. The understanding of attentional bias could facilitate the design of specific attentional cognitive interventions to aid people in selectively re-regulating attention, challenging negative cognitive patterns and enhancing emotional wellbeing. Finally, a developmental understanding of attentional processes within the context of depression may enhance the assessment of depression and evidence-based depression interventions.

References

1. Beck, A. T. (1967). *Depression: Clinical, experimental, and theoretical aspects*. Harper & Row.
2. Beck, A. T. (1976). *Cognitive therapy and the emotional disorders*. International Universities Press.
3. Bradley, B. P., Mogg, K., & Williams, R. (1995). Implicit and explicit memory for emotion-congruent information in clinical depression and anxiety. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 33(7), 755–770.
4. Clark, D. A., Beck, A. T., & Alford, B. A. (1999). *Scientific foundations of cognitive theory and therapy of depression*. Wiley.
5. De Raedt, R., & Koster, E. H. W. (2010). Understanding vulnerability for depression from a cognitive neuroscience perspective: A reappraisal of attentional factors and a new conceptual framework. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 10, 50–70. <https://doi.org/10.3758/CABN.10.1.50>



Scriptora International Journal of Research and Innovation (SIJRI)

Journal Homepage: <https://scriptora.org>

6. Gotlib, I. H., & Joormann, J. (2010). Cognition and depression: Current status and future directions. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 6, 285–312. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.121208.131305>
7. Gotlib, I. H., Krasnoperova, E., Yue, D. N., & Joormann, J. (2004). Attentional biases for negative interpersonal stimuli in clinical depression. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 113(1), 127–135. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.113.1.127>
8. Hertel, P. T. (1997). On the contribution of deficient cognitive control to memory impairments in depression. *Cognition & Emotion*, 11(5–6), 569–583.
9. Joormann, J. (2004). Attentional bias in dysphoria: The role of inhibitory processes. *Cognition & Emotion*, 18(1), 125–147.
10. Joormann, J., & Gotlib, I. H. (2007). Selective attention to emotional faces following recovery from depression. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 116(1), 80–85. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.116.1.80>
11. Koster, E. H. W., De Raedt, R., Goeleven, E., Franck, E., & Crombez, G. (2005). Mood-congruent attentional bias in dysphoria: Maintained attention to and impaired disengagement from negative information. *Emotion*, 5(4), 446–455. <https://doi.org/10.1037/1528-3542.5.4.446>
12. Mathews, A., & MacLeod, C. (2005). Cognitive vulnerability to emotional disorders. *Annual Review of Clinical Psychology*, 1, 167–195. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev.clinpsy.1.102803.143916>
13. Mennen, A. C., Norman, K. A., & Turk-Browne, N. B. (2019). Attentional bias in depression: Understanding mechanisms to improve training and treatment. *Current Opinion in Psychology*, 29, 266–273. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.copsyc.2019.07.036>
14. Mogg, K., & Bradley, B. P. (1998). A cognitive-motivational analysis of anxiety. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 36(9), 809–848. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967\(98\)00063-1](https://doi.org/10.1016/S0005-7967(98)00063-1)
15. Peckham, A. D., McHugh, R. K., & Otto, M. W. (2010). A meta-analysis of the magnitude of biased attention in depression. *Depression and Anxiety*, 27(12), 1135–1142. <https://doi.org/10.1002/da.20755>
16. Sanchez, A., Vazquez, C., Marker, C., LeMoult, J., & Joormann, J. (2013). Attentional disengagement predicts stress recovery in depression: An eye-tracking study. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 122(2), 303–313. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0031529>
17. Siegle, G. J., Ghinassi, F., & Thase, M. E. (2007). Neurobehavioral therapies in the 21st century: Summary of an emerging field and an extended example of cognitive control training for depression. *Cognitive Therapy and Research*, 31, 235–262. <https://doi.org/10.1007/s10608-006-9118-6>
18. Suslow, T., HuBlack, A., Kersting, A., & Bodenschatz, C. M. (2020). Attentional biases to emotional information in clinical depression: A systematic and meta-analytic review of eye tracking findings. *Journal of Affective Disorders*, 274, 632–642. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jad.2020.05.140>
19. Williams, J. M. G., Mathews, A., & MacLeod, C. (1996). The emotional Stroop task and psychopathology. *Psychological Bulletin*, 120(1), 3–24. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0033-2909.120.1.3>
20. Nolen-Hoeksema, S. (1991). Responses to depression and their effects on the duration of depressive episodes. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 100(4), 569–582. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.100.4.569>
21. Nolen-Hoeksema, S., Wisco, B. E., & Lyubomirsky, S. (2008). Rethinking rumination. *Perspectives on Psychological Science*, 3(5), 400–424. <https://doi.org/10.1111/j.1745-6924.2008.00088.x>
22. Kuehner, C. (2017). Why is depression more common among women than among men? *The Lancet Psychiatry*, 4(2), 146–158. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366\(16\)30263-2](https://doi.org/10.1016/S2215-0366(16)30263-2)
23. MacLeod, C., Rutherford, E., Campbell, L., Ebsworthy, G., & Holker, L. (2002). Selective attention and emotional vulnerability: Assessing the causal basis of their association through the experimental manipulation of attentional bias. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 111(1), 107–123. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.111.1.107>
24. Mogg, K., Bradley, B. P., Williams, R., & Mathews, A. (1993). Subliminal processing of emotional information in anxiety and depression. *Journal of Abnormal Psychology*, 102(2), 304–311. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-843X.102.2.304>



25. Beck, A. T., Steer, R. A., & Brown, G. K. (1996). Manual for the Beck Depression Inventory–II. Psychological Corporation.
26. Xia, Y., Li, X., Zhang, H., Zhong, N., Liu, J., Gou, M., Fan, Q., Zhao, H., Zhang, Y., Ai, M., Huang, L., Li, S., & Jin, Y. (2023). Attention bias modification for depression: A systematic review and meta-analysis. *Frontiers in Psychiatry*, 14, Article 1098610. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyt.2023.1098610>
27. Hur, J. K., Ho, N. C. W., Maslej, M. M., Andrews, P. W., Butters, M. A., Milliken, B., & Mulsant, B. H. (2026). Selective attention in adults with major depressive disorder: A systematic review and meta-analysis of task-specific differences and negative bias. *Comprehensive Psychiatry*, 148, Article 152720. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.comppsy.2026.152720>
28. Wen, A., Fischer, E. R., Watson, D., & Yoon, K. L. (2023). Biased cognitive control of emotional information in remitted depression: A meta-analytic review. *Journal of Psychopathology and Clinical Science*, 132(8), 921–936. <https://doi.org/10.1037/abn0000848>
29. De Raedt, R., & Koster, E. H. W. (2010). Understanding vulnerability for depression from a cognitive neuroscience perspective: A reappraisal of attentional factors and a new conceptual framework. *Cognitive, Affective, & Behavioral Neuroscience*, 10, 50–70. <https://doi.org/10.3758/CABN.10.1.50>
30. Mogg, K., & Bradley, B. P. (2016). Anxiety and attention to threat: Cognitive mechanisms and treatment with attention bias modification. *Behaviour Research and Therapy*, 87, 76–108. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.brat.2016.08.001>