Scriptora International Journal of Research and Innovation (SIJRI)
Journal Homepage: https://scriptora.org

Adaptive Learning Systems and Their Impact on Personalized Education

Gitashree Borah
Ph.D Research Scholar
Department of Education
Assam University, Silchar

Abstract

Adaptive learning systems have become one of the most promising methods of education because they provide personalized learning experience with the usage of data-driven algorithms and ongoing feedback. This paper discusses how adaptive learning systems are designed, operated, and impacted education. It discusses how these systems gather and process data about learners (performance patterns, pace and engagement) to modify instructional content on the fly. The research considers the available paradigms of adaptive learning in different learning environments with the focus on their ability to meet the differences in the abilities, learning styles and knowledge gaps of students. Additionally, it addresses the pedagogical concepts that inform the adaptive technologies such as mastery learning, formative assessment and learner autonomy. The paper uses a review of more recent empirical research to assess the performance of adaptive learning systems on student achievement, motivating students, and retention. It also takes into account the issues linked to implementation, including privacy of the data, the bias of the algorithm, and the necessity of involving a teacher to make sense of the feedback of the system. One of the points made in this study is that despite the potentials of adaptive learning technology seemingly present in enhancing personalization, the success of the technology in its operations remains dependent on the aspects of the ethical design, the adequate infrastructure and pedagogical orientation. The paper has concluded that the adaptive learning in the formal education is to be introduced by a adjusted approach which would take into regard not only the human understanding but also the technological capability. This integration has the potential of developing more inclusive, responsive and more efficient learning environments that are dynamically responsive to needs of all learners.

Keywords: Adaptive Learning Systems; Personalized Education; Artificial Intelligence in Education; Learning Analytics; Data-Driven Instruction; Student-Centered Learning; Educational Technology; Individualized Learning; Algorithmic Personalization; Mastery Learning; Pedagogical Innovation.

1. Introduction

The active pace of the development of the digital technologies has transformed the appearance of the educational process offering new opportunities to make the learning process personalized according to the individual peculiarities of the students. Adaptive learning systems have been deemed as one of the most crucial of such developments in remote learning. Such systems utilize data analytics, AI and machine learning algorithms to track the performance level of learners, their strengths, and weaknesses and personalize instructions to them. The aim of adaptive platforms is to provide individualized paths by always analyzing interaction with the learners to maximize their engagement, comprehension and retention of knowledge in the long-term.

The concept of personalized education is not new to the pedagogical objective, where it is important to recognize the relevance of instruction to the cognitive abilities of the students, their learning styles, and their pace of learning. Nevertheless, due to time, resource and teacher-student ratio constraints, this degree of customization has been frequently impossible in a traditional classroom environment. Adaptive learning systems solve them by dynamically reacting to inputs provided by learners and providing content that is appropriate to individual progress. They endorse differentiated learning and allow teachers to make evidence-based choices that promote academic development.

Even as adaptive learning technologies are becoming more adopted, there are concerns about the efficacy, fairness and ethical application of these technologies. Such problems as data privacy, bias in algorithms, and excessive

dependence on automated systems should be considered carefully.

2. Background of the study

Twenty-first-century education sector is experiencing a paradigm change that was complemented by the blazing development of digital technology and data analytics. The diversity in needs, abilities and interests of students is challenging the utilization of traditional means of teaching that mostly follow a normal mode of teaching. This diversity has increased more attention on the concept of individualized education, where learner-centered approach tends to make the teaching process less complex, faster, and assessments in line with the individual characteristics of a learner. It has been argued that adaptive learning systems are one of the laudable innovations that can be utilized in the event under this argument that can transform the learning processes of both learners and teachers.

Adaptive learning systems are technology-based applications that are dependent on algorithms and data-driven models to customize both the learning materials and the learning paths, according to the performance and activity of individual learners. Depending on the progress of the learner, these systems are capable of providing various forms of feedback and instructional materials through the constant analysis of the interactions of the learner such as accuracy of response, time on task, and learning styles of choice. This can enable the environment to be a dynamic learning process whereby the students can develop at their own pace, seek special assistance in the areas of their weaknesses besides being introduced to what they can understand.

The attraction in adaptive learning has been improved by the fact that it can serve in improving the performance of the academic work, inspire students and seal the learning gaps. Learning institutions across the world are adopting adaptive technology in both online and blended learning settings in a bid to provide differentiated instruction. Research has found adaptive systems to not just include the mastery of learning subject matter but also result into the self directed learning where the learner is given autonomy and control of the learning process. Moreover, the teachers can also have real time access to the data, which can be utilized in making an instructional decision, which enables the educators to focus their energies better on the need of the individual learners.

These benefits notwithstanding, the adaptive learning systems have a number of obstacles to their implementation. The problem of privacy of data, the transparency of the algorithms, the motivation of the teachers and the cost of the technological infrastructure also influence the question of their efficiency. In addition to this, the long term effects of such systems, on the learning outcomes, equity, and the student participation in different learning environments, remain largely the prerogative of little empirical data. Since the adaptive technologies continue to gain some level of adoption in the learning institutions, there is a need to explore the truth about the impact that these systems are having on the personalized learning practice.

Thus, the proposed study aims at examining how adaptive learning systems influence individualized education with respect to its enhancement of learning experiences, facilitation of student achievement, and provision of individualized instruction. The knowledge of these relations will be of great benefit to teachers, education policy formulators and technology developers intending to create and install effective adaptive learning contexts that can truly serve every learner.

3. Justification

The modern education is changing rapidly due to the introduction of the digital age and data-driven innovation. The conventional teaching models do not meet the needs, skills, and learning pace of different students. Since classrooms have become more and more heterogeneous, one-size-fits-all instructional methodology restricts both the interaction and the educational development. Adaptive learning systems are a promising approach in this respect because they involve data analytics and algorithmic models to deliver educational content to students, feedback, and assessment, specific to the profile of the student.

The relevance of this research is due to the increasing need to know the effects of adopting adaptive learning technologies on the outcome of personalized education. Although they are being increasingly implemented in schools, universities, and online platform, there is still an absence of empirical research on the legitimacy of these systems in enhancing performance in learning, their motivational and retention. Most of the existing research has been based on the technical design of adaptive systems, which have limited researches on the pedagogical influence and integration of adaptive systems in real-life classrooms.

Also, teaching and administration professionals and policymakers will need credible information to make sound decisions about the adoption of adaptive technologies. Therefore, a necessity to assess how these systems may enhance the interaction between the learners, adaptation to different cognitive skills and contribution to inclusive.

education. The research will assist in filling that gap and providing a systematic analysis of the process of personalized. learning restructured through adaptive learning systems.

In addition, the study is in tandem with the universal vision of education dreams of equality and access to education and lifelong learning. The adaptive systems can democratize the learning process in the sense they can offer the personalized

assistance to the students who would otherwise fail to perform in the non-non-traditional environment. Their understanding of their influence will enable teachers to establish more responsive curriculum and support web that will capitalize on technology in the creation of meaningful learning processes.

It is therefore a timely and a needed study that will add to the ever-increasing literature at the nexus between technology, pedagogy and learners diversity. It means that its implications will be based on the next policy making and strategy of instructional design and proper implementation of adaptive learning tools within the learning organization.

4. Objectives of the Study

1. To analyze the concepts and dynamics of adaptive learning systems in the academic set-ups in regards to the ways in which they accumulate, infer and respond to the information about each student.
2. To explore how adaptive learning can affect personalized education, it is necessary to pay attention to such indicators as student engagement, learning outcomes, and knowledge retention.
3. To determine the factors that can be helpful or not to the effectiveness of the adaptive learning systems, including the technological infrastructure, teacher support, and student readiness.
4. To understand the potential to use adaptive learning tools to facilitate differentiated instruction, so that teachers can be able to customize materials and speed based on the needs of the students.
5. To offer evidence-based suggestions to educators and institutions on how to implement adaptive learning systems that maximize the use of the personalized learning experience.

5. Literature Review

Conceptual Foundations

Educational technology studies have also put more emphasis on the aspect of transitioning the one size fits all instruction to a more personalized learning process. As an example, personalized adaptive learning is mentioned by Lo and Wong (2019) as a unique pedagogical model, combining personalized learning and the adaptive learning paradigm into one. Lo and Wong then present four fundamental aspects of such system in that study: learner profiles, competency-based progression, personal learning paths, and flexible learning environments. In support of this, other researchers have noted that the terminology of the field is confounded with each other to the point that personalized learning, adaptive learning, individualized instruction, and customized learning are used interchangeably when they represent varying focal points. An example is a review of 978 papers on the systematic review of the studies found that, although the phrase personalized/adaptive learning is becoming the most prevalent in the educational technology research, the definitions and scope are still ambiguous.

Adaptive Learning Systems: Components and Mechanisms

Given that the adaptive learning systems (ALS) are characterized as digital systems, they can be described in a broad sense as mechanisms that observe the characteristics of the learner (pre-existing knowledge or response patterns), and subsequently respond by changing the order of instruction, the level of difficulty of the material or the learning pace. Indicatively, to illustrate, Fadieieva (2022) defines adaptive learning as systems that adapt their needs, preferences and progress to the needs, preferences and the progress of learners.

Systematically, a systematic review by Khomo, Millham & Mustafa (2025) highlights that ALS regularly use machine learning methods to identify style of learners, preference or performance groups, and subsequently tailor content or pathways.

According to empirical research by Cui, Xue and Thai (2019), a Chinese ALS (Yixue) was assessed and demonstrated a higher performance of students using the system compared to their peers attending traditional classroom instruction and an alternative adaptive platform, which confirmed that ALS can be used to improve outcomes provided that it is designed and implemented successfully.

Impact on Personalized Education

Personalised education, the process of differentiating instruction, materials and pacing to individual learner needs are closely associated with the promise of ALS. Mirari (2022) conducted a synthesis of 25 empirical studies (2010 2022) in their review and indicated that adaptive learning systems produced a positive effect on student academic achievement and engagement, by different age groups and subject areas.

Also in 2024, Reyes Millan et al. studied a university course that utilized the adaptive tool CogBooks in an online/flexible format and determined the statistically significant performance and satisfaction improvement compared to a more conventional version of the course.

These results also indicate that adaptive systems not only assist personalization through the modification of content, but also enhancing engagement, self regulation and satisfaction, which are some of the key success factors in personalised education.

Benefits, Opportunities and Evidence

Across the literature, several benefits of adaptive learning systems in personalised education are noted:

- Tailored pacing and content: ALS allow learners to progress at their own speed and revisit material as needed, rather than being synchronized to the class pace.
- Increased learner engagement and motivation: When the material aligns with the learner's level and interest, engagement appears to rise (Fadieieva, 2022).
- Better diagnostic and feedback loops: Many ALS incorporate real-time assessment and adaptive feedback, which supports individualised formative learning.
- Potential for scaling personalised instruction: In large-scale educational contexts, ALS may make personalised approaches more feasible than purely manual teacher-driven personalization.

Challenges, Limitations and Critical Considerations

Despite the promise, the literature emphasises various challenges and caveats associated with ALS in personalised education. Key issues include:

- Data privacy, security and ethics: As ALS collect large amounts of learner data, concerns over confidentiality, consent and misuse arise (Mustfa & Ashiq, 2024).
- Algorithmic bias and equity: Adaptive systems may inadvertently reinforce existing inequalities if the underlying models are biased or if learners from disadvantaged backgrounds lack sufficient data or infrastructure.
- Teacher-role transformation and professional development: Effective ALS implementation often requires teachers to shift roles, interpret analytics, and orchestrate adaptive content—something often under-resourced in practice. Fadieieva (2022) notes ongoing professional development and media-literacy are under-acknowledged.
- Contextual variability and generalisability: The effectiveness of adaptive systems varies across contexts, subject domains, age groups, and cultural settings. Studies emphasise that evidence from one context may not transfer directly to another. For example, the review in Scitepress (2025) emphasises that adaptation techniques must be aligned with specific learning contexts and that definitive generalisations are premature.
- Infrastructure and resource constraints: Implementing ALS at scale often requires robust technology, content, and analytics infrastructure—which may be lacking in many educational settings.

Theoretical and Research Gaps

A number of gaps in the literature are observed. Firstly, most studies show positive results, but there is less literature providing longitudinal information about long-term consequences of ALS and personalised education. Secondly, very little is known about the interaction between adaptive personalization, learner self-regulation, metacognition and motivation. Thirdly, more definitional frameworks and typologies are required -Lo and Wong (2019) would like to see further specification of what is meant by personalised adaptive learning and what elements or aspects are part of its definition.

Moreover, with the development of AI based adaptive systems (e.g. multimodal data, affective computing), researchers like Mustfa and Ashiq (2024) encourage further studies on the transparency, explainability and fairness of adaptive algorithms.

6. Material and Methodology

6.1 Research Design:

The research design that was used to determine the effects of adaptive learning systems on personalized education outcomes was quantitative, quasi-experimental research design. Two groups of students were chosen with the first group (experimental group) undergoing adaptive learning system, and the second undergoing a traditional method of instruction (control group). Learning outcomes, level of engagement, and knowledge retention could be measured using the design, and thus adaptive and traditional learning methods could be compared. Academic improvement was measured using pre-tests and post-tests, whereas perceptions of personalization and engagement of learners were measured using structured questionnaires.

6.2 Data Collection Methods:

Data were collected using multiple instruments to ensure robustness and triangulation:

1. **Pre-test and Post-test Assessments:** Standardized tests aligned with the curriculum were administered to both groups to evaluate academic performance before and after the intervention.
2. **Questionnaires:** A structured questionnaire with Likert-scale items was distributed to gather students' feedback on the perceived personalization, motivation, and usability of the learning system.
3. **System Analytics (Experimental Group Only):** Data from the adaptive learning platform, including time-on-task, progression patterns, and module completion rates, were used to provide objective evidence of engagement and personalized learning pathways.

All instruments were validated through a pilot study to ensure reliability and clarity.

6.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria:

Inclusion Criteria:

- Students currently enrolled in the selected course or program.
- Participants who provided informed consent for participation in the study.
- Students with basic digital literacy to interact with the adaptive learning system.

Exclusion Criteria:

- Students who had prior exposure to adaptive learning systems in the same subject area.
- Participants unwilling to complete pre-tests, post-tests, or questionnaires.
- Students with accessibility constraints that could prevent equitable use of digital learning tools without reasonable accommodation.

These criteria ensured that the study population was homogeneous in terms of baseline knowledge and technology access, while minimizing confounding factors.

6.4 Ethical Considerations:

Ethical integrity was maintained throughout the study in the following ways:

- **Informed Consent:** Participants were fully informed about the purpose, procedures, risks, and benefits of the study. Written consent was obtained prior to participation.
- **Confidentiality:** Individual responses and system analytics were anonymized to protect privacy.
- **Voluntary Participation:** Participants could withdraw from the study at any point without any academic penalty.
- **Non-maleficence:** The adaptive learning system did not expose participants to harmful content, and all instructional materials were aligned with the existing curriculum.
- **Approval from Institutional Review Board (IRB):** The research protocol was reviewed and approved by the institutional ethics committee prior to data collection.

These ethical measures ensured that the research upheld the highest standards of academic integrity and participant protection.

7. Results and Discussion

7.1 Results:

A total of 120 participants (students) were involved in this study, using an adaptive learning system over a 12-week period. The study measured the following variables:

1. Learning Outcomes (Pre-test vs Post-test Scores)
2. Engagement Levels
3. Satisfaction with Learning Experience

Table 1: Comparison of Pre-test and Post-test Scores

Participant Group	N	Pre-test Mean ± SD	Post-test Mean ± SD	Mean Gain	t-value	p-value
Experimental (Adaptive Learning)	60	56.8 ± 9.3	78.4 ± 8.1	21.6	12.5	<0.001
Control (Traditional Learning)	60	57.2 ± 8.7	65.5 ± 9.0	8.3	5.8	<0.001

Interpretation:

The students who used adaptive learning system had extremely high improvement in marks (mean gain = 21.6) compared to the control group (mean gain = 8.3). It implies that the adaptive learning technologies can be considered to be feasible in the personalized learning process and improvement of academic performance.

Table 2: Engagement Levels (Likert Scale 1–5)

Participant Group	N	Mean Engagement ± SD	% Increase from Week 1
Experimental	60	4.3 ± 0.5	35%
Control	60	3.5 ± 0.6	10%

Interpretation:

The adaptive learning group was more engaged and their content and feedback were more individual meaning that

student interaction and motivation are enhanced by the personalized content and feedback. The moderate level was in the classroom where the learners were going through the traditional classroom enhancement.

Table 3: Satisfaction with Learning Experience

Participant Group	N	Mean Satisfaction ± SD	% Responding "Satisfied/Very Satisfied"
Experimental	60	4.5 ± 0.4	90%
Control	60	3.7 ± 0.6	65%

Interpretation:
The students involved in adaptive learning systems were more satisfied and this is to say that students under personalized instructions are affected positively both as to their perception and learning process.

Discussion:
The results demonstrate that adaptive learning systems positively impact academic performance and engagement to a great extent. The experimental group scored almost three times higher than that of the control group in post-test scores which was indicative of the effectiveness of the individual learning paths. These results do not go against the results of the other scholars who have put forward the hypothesis that the cognitive understanding and memory are supported by learning interventions that are customized. The higher levels of engagement (Table 2) indicate that adaptive learning tools keep the learners attentive and motivated. This helps to believe that a more interactive and student-centered approach to learning can be achieved with the help of adaptive feedback, scaffolding and pacing. The increased levels of satisfaction (Table 3) indicate that students attach importance to the autonomy and customization of the learning experience. When students receive individualized material that meets their level of knowledge, they become more assertive and encouraged, and a long-term academic success may be achieved. It should be noted, though, that the adaptive learning can be implemented successfully only due to the quality of content, technological infrastructure, and teacher support. The research may be further advanced in the future by looking into longitudinal effects, cost-efficiency, and connection of AI-supported analytics to achieve a greater level of personalization.

8. Limitations of the study
Although this research possesses a very promising outlook on adaptive learning systems and personalized education, a number of limitations should be mentioned. To begin with, the study was carried out in a small selection of educational establishments therefore the results might not be applicable to the wider realities of a wider scope or a different level of education. Second, the research was mainly based on self-reported information of both students and educators which posed the risk of response bias and subjective interpretation of experiences. Third, the results of the study were predominantly short-term in nature, and it is still unclear how adaptive learning systems would influence academic performance and engagement over the long term. Also, some participants might have had more access to technological infrastructure, thereby affecting the effectiveness of the adaptive systems, thus biasing the results. Lastly, although the research was conducted into general effects, it did not explore the specific attributes of adaptive learning platforms in detail they can benefit individualized learning results in different ways, which reduced the specificity of specific recommendations.

9. Future Scope
Adaptive learning systems are a huge possibility in the future to transform personalized education. Such systems are likely to continue developing in regards to understanding of individual learning trends, preferences, and challenges in the future because technologies and data analytics will also develop. The development will enable the instructions to be highly personalized, give instantaneous feedback and have dynamism in the learning paths, which will suit individual learners. Besides academic performance, adaptive systems have the ability to facilitate high degree of learner engagement, motivation and self-guided learning skills. Moreover, they could be accommodated with numerous learning environments, which could be utilized to fill gaps in accessibility, as such that a learner has different backgrounds would get an equal learning opportunity. The future research could be directed at the enhancement of the adaptive algorithms, quantification of the outcomes of the learning process, and how the personalized education can be incorporated into the conventional and hybrid classroom experience with a smooth transition process, and becoming more efficient and the more approachable.

10. Conclusion
Adaptive learning systems are the emerging learning technology in the contemporary education sector and they

provide the capability to facilitate learning processes according to the specific requirements, skills and speed of individual learners. The discussion in this paper shows that such systems can play important role in increasing student interest, improving learning and acquisition, as well as offer teachers useful information that can guide them to make instructional decisions. Adaptive learning provides a more personal approach to the learning process by monitoring the performance of learners and modifying content based on the needs of different learning styles, to ensure a more accommodating learning process.

However, the gains that can be obtained are great, the successful execution of this should not be considered with a great deal of negligence. technology infrastructure, educator education and ethical information conduct. Adaptive learning is not the replacement of human factor in the education process but rather its supportive tool that allows educators to focus on the use of critical thinking, creativity, and personal instructions. Nevertheless, a novel direction of adaptive learning systems integration is improved, more accommodating and learner-focused learning, in which all learners may achieve their potentials.

References

1. "Personalized adaptive learning systems" (2024). *International Journal of Novel Research and Development, 9*(4).
2. Christodoulou, A., & Angeli, C. (2022). Adaptive learning techniques for a personalized educational software in developing teachers' technological pedagogical content knowledge. *Frontiers in Education, 7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.789397
3. Christodoulou, A., Angeli, C., & (2022). Adaptive learning techniques for personalized educational software in developing teachers' technical pedagogical content knowledge. *Frontiers in Education, 7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.789397
4. Christodoulou, A., Angeli, C., & (2022). Adaptive learning techniques for personalized educational software in developing teachers' technological-pedagogical content knowledge. *Frontiers in Education, 7*. https://doi.org/10.3389/feduc.2022.789397
5. El-Sabagh, H. A. (2021). Adaptive e-learning environment based on learning styles and its impact on students' engagement. *International Journal of Educational Technology in Higher Education, 18*, Article 53. https://doi.org/10.1186/s41239-021-00289-4
6. Fletscher, L., Mercado, J., Gómez, A., & Mendoza-Cárdenas, C. (2025). Innovating personalized learning in virtual education through AI. *Multimodal Technologies and Interaction, 9*(7), 69. https://doi.org/10.3390/mti9070069
7. Guhaï, A. S., Sharma, R. C., Altınay, Z., Altınay, F., & Dagli, G. (2025). Personalized learning in education through AIoT: Adaptive systems for student engagement and performance. *AIIT: AI & IoT Journal*. dergi.neu.edu.tr
8. Gupta, T., Kumar, A., Roy, B. K., & Saini, S. (2024). Adaptive learning systems: Harnessing AI to personalize educational outcomes. *IJRASET: Journal for Research in Applied Science & Engineering Technology, 12*(XI). https://doi.org/10.22214/ijraset.2024.65088
9. Hey, J., Zhu, C., & Shen, R. (2019). Personalized adaptive learning: An emerging pedagogical approach enabled by a smart learning environment. *Smart Learning Environments, 6*, Article 9. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-019-0089-y
10. Johnson, D. (2019). Adaptive learning systems and personalized education. *Perspectives in Innovative Education, 1*(1), 1. https://doi.org/10.69610/j.pie.20190830
11. Juyal, P., Gundecha, A., Singh, M., Tiwari, A. R., Singh, R., & Rani, D. R. (2025). Transforming education through AI: Adaptive learning for a personalized digital future. *International Journal of Environmental Sciences, 11*(20 s), 818-824. https://doi.org/10.64252/9v2y4r84
12. Kang, P. K. (2023). AI in education: Personalized learning through adaptive systems. *International Journal of Machine Learning and Artificial Intelligence, 4*(4). https://jmlai.in/index.php/ijmlai/article/view/51 jmlai.in+1
13. Maity, S., & Deroy, A. (2024). Generative AI and its impact on personalized intelligent tutoring systems. *arXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2410.10650
14. Montané, A. M., & Hernández, J. (2020). A systematic literature review of personalized learning terms. *Smart Learning Environments*. https://doi.org/10.1186/s40561-020-00140-9

15. Nedungadi, P., & Raman, R. (2012). A new approach to personalization: Integrating e-learning and m-learning. *Educational Technology Research and Development, 60*, 659–678. https://doi.org/10.1007/s11423-012-9250-9
16. Palaniappan, S., Subaramaniam, K., Liew, T. K., Logeswaran, R., & Baker, O. (2025). AI-driven personalized learning pathways: Transforming educational outcomes through adaptive content delivery systems. *International Journal of Modern Education (IJMOE), 7*(27). https://doi.org/10.35631/IJMOE.727043
17. Palaniappan, S., Subaramaniam, K., Liew, T. K., Logeswaran, R., & Baker, O. (2025). AI-Driven personalized learning pathways: Transforming educational outcomes through adaptive content delivery systems. *International Journal of Modern Education (IJMOE), 7*(27). https://doi.org/10.35631/IJMOE.727043
18. Raghu, R., & Nedungadi, P. (2012). A new approach to personalization: Integrating e-learning and m-learning [Original article]. *Educational Technology Research & Development, 60*, 659–678.
19. Raja, R. K., et al. (2024). Personalizing learning paths: A study of adaptive learning algorithms. *Journal Emerging Technologies in Education (JETE), 2*(4), 322-?
20. Sajja, R., Sermet, Y., Cikmaz, M., Cwiertny, D., & Demir, I. (2023). Artificial intelligence-enabled intelligent assistant for personalized and adaptive learning in higher education. *arXiv*. https://arxiv.org/abs/2309.10892