



Transformational Leadership in Remote and Hybrid Work Environments

Dr. Savita Kailas Bharati

Assistant Professor,
Matoshri College of Management and Research Center.

Dr. Yogesh Mohan Gosavi

Director,
Matoshri College of Management and Research Center.

Abstract

The sudden growth of remote and hybrid work models has radically changed organizational frameworks, leadership, and employee demands in all industries. Transformational leadership has become an important strategy in this dynamic environment and sustaining the engagement of employees, performance and commitment to the organization beyond the traditional physical work place. This essay will analyse the application of transformational leadership in remote and hybrid work practices with reference to how the dimensions of the idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration will adjust to digital mediated work environments. Recent studies in the domain of leadership and organizational behaviour shed light on the issue, as the study is based on a comprehensive analysis of the latest literature on the topic, which identifies the key features of how transformational leaders can develop trust, collaboration, and shared purpose, despite geographical dispersal and less face-to-face communication. The paper brings out the importance of clear communication, emotional intelligence, and leader behaviours that can result in team cohesion and motivation with the help of technology. It also examines the issues that leaders encounter in virtual environments such as communication issues, isolation of employees, performance checks, and work-life balance issues. The results indicate that transformational leadership has a beneficial impact on employees in terms of satisfaction, innovation, and resilience, when leaders consciously use digital tools and inclusive practices in leadership. Furthermore, the paper highlights the necessity of the leadership development training programs adapted to the remote and hybrid environments and providing managers with adaptation and digital skills. The combination of the leadership theory and emergent realities in the workplace has led to the development of this paper, which will help in a better comprehension of effective leadership in flexible workplaces. The presented insights can be useful to organizational leaders, human resource practitioners and policymakers who are interested in improving leadership performance and staff welfare in the post-pandemic world of work.

Keywords: Transformational leadership, Remote work, Hybrid work environments, Virtual leadership, Employee engagement, Digital communication, Organizational performance

1. Introduction

Over the past few years, the working nature has radically changed due to the fast pace of technological changes, globalization, and unexpected shocks like the COVID-19 pandemic. Companies in industries have actively taken up the remote and hybrid models of work to maintain continuity, flexibility, and resilience. Although these work arrangements are effective in terms of cost-effectiveness and better work-life balance, there are serious leadership challenges associated with these work arrangements in terms of communication, employee engagement, monitoring of performance and organizational culture. With this dynamic situation, flexible, trustful and motivational leadership styles have taken a center stage in ensuring organizational effectiveness.

Scriptora International Journal of Research and Innovation (SIJRI)

Transformational leadership which is typified by the creation of vision, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation as well as individualized consideration have become popular as a leadership style that is highly appropriate in dynamic and uncertain situations.

work environments. Transformational leadership is based on empowering employees, innovating and aligning individual goals to the organizational goals, unlike transactional leadership which is based on supervision and control through rewards. The said qualities are specifically applicable to remote and hybrid environments, when physical distance may diminish interpersonal bonds and diminish informal interactions.

Remote and hybrid working conditions do not involve face-to-face control, which means that the leaders should become more dependent on emotional intelligence, competence in digital communication, and trustful relationships. Transformational leaders are very instrumental in terms of employee morale, collaboration, and shared sense of purpose despite geographical dispersion. In addition, these leaders will be able to alleviate the experience of isolation and disengagement by encouraging inclusivity and ongoing feedback.

The present research paper will discuss the presence and effectiveness of transformational leadership in remote and hybrid work environments. The study will make a contribution to the current literature in leadership and offer knowledge to managers in the realm of managing such complexities of contemporary work structures by evaluating the impacts of transformational leadership practices on employee motivation, commitment, and performance.

2. Background of the study

Over the last several years, the character of work has been radically changed due to the blistering development of digital technologies, globalization, or the changing expectations of employees. The mass-scale introduction of models of remote and hybrid work (enhanced considerably due to the global disruptions, including the COVID-19 pandemic) have transformed the way organizations design jobs and organize teams and assess performance. The previously-location based work is becoming more and more flexible, based on virtual working tools, digital communication environments and results-driven work measurement. Despite all these changes that have all had their positive side of flexibility and accessibility to a larger talent pool, it has also introduced new management and leadership problems of engagement among workers, making them trust them, communicating and how to establish an organizational culture.

In this respect, it becomes very necessary that leadership comes in where face to face communication is limited or not frequent. The traditional leadership practices that are based to a large extent on the physical presence, upper-level supervision and hierarchical control have been found to be ineffective in remote and hybrid settings. More academic and practical interest in this regard has been given to transformational leadership that is characterized by sharing of the vision, inspirational motivation, personalized consideration and intellectual stimulation. Transformational leaders have been reported to build an intrinsic motivation, spur innovation and establish a deep emotional connection with the employees especially essential in geographically spread groups where isolation, and disengagement is likely to be experienced.

Even though the role of transformational leadership has increased in the working arrangement today, more theoretical and empirical knowledge is needed on how this nature of leadership functions in distance and hybrid working environments. The differences in communication lines, informal communication unavailability, and utilizing digital platforms can influence the perceptions as well as application of transformational leadership behaviors. Moreover, the variation in autonomy of employees, work-life boundary and dynamics of virtual teams is another problem that makes the matter of leadership effectiveness even more complex. Therefore, the question of transformational leadership in the remote and hybrid environment is essential to the study of how it sustains the performance of the employees and their commitment as well as the study of how it contributes to holding the health of the employees in the ever-changing and technologically advanced work environment.

3. Justification

The transition to high-speed form of remote and hybrid work has transformed the mode of organization work, communication and leadership radically. The prevalence of flexible working arrangements in the industries has risen by the digital technologies as well as the global upheavals such as the COVID-19 pandemic. Although these models have the advantage of being cost-effective, work/ life balance as well as accessing world talent, they also pose serious leadership challenges including the issue of employee engagement, building trust, monitoring performance, and organizational culture. Transformational leadership has become a possibly useful strategy in dealing with dispersed and diverse workforces in this changing environment. Transformational leadership is focused on vision, inspiration, personal attention, and intellectual challenge, which are especially applicable in a setting where personal communication is constrained. Nevertheless, the majority of the literature on leadership has been coined in conventional, co-located working environments. Empirical and conceptual knowledge about how transformational leadership practices can be transformed into remote and hybrid workplaces where communication is highly mediated by technology and social cues are frequently diminished is still quite limited. The proposed research is supported by the necessity to investigate the role and impact of transformational leadership on employee motivation, job

satisfaction, commitment, and performance working in remote and hybrid environments. The dynamics are important to be understood by organizations that want to remain productive and maintain the welfare of employees but are not working within a traditional office framework. Moreover, the paper also advances the leadership theory by situating the transformational leadership in the contemporary working organization and making it relevant to the current organizational context. In practical terms, the results of this study are applicable to help managers, human resource professionals and organizational leaders to come up with leadership strategies and training programs that are unique to remote and hybrid teams. The research contributes to evidence-based decision-making and organizational resiliency by revealing the successful leadership practices in virtual environments. So, the study is not only timely but also important to the organization as it deals with a new organizational issue and provides information that has theoretical applicability and practical value to the managers.

4. Objectives of the Study

1. To investigate the fundamental dimensions of transformational leadership implemented either in remote or hybrid workplaces.
2. To examine the effects of transformational leadership on employee motivation, engagement, and job satisfaction in dispersed geographical teams.
3. To determine the role of transformational leadership behaviors in building trust and effective communication in virtual workplace.
4. To analyze how transformational leadership affects the team collaboration and performance within remote and hybrid working models.
5. To explore the issues of implementation of transformational leadership principles in technology-mediated workplaces among leaders.

5. Literature Review

Transformational leadership is widely discussed as one of the most important leadership styles that help to promote the motivation of employees and their innovations and performance of the organization. As remote and hybrid work arrangements grow at an extremely fast rate, there has been a growing scholarly interest on how transformational leadership works in digitally mediated and geographically dispersed environments. In this literature review, the theoretical and empirical evidence on transformational leadership in remote and hybrid work settings is summarized.



Source: <https://www.linkedin.com/>

Transformational Leadership: Conceptual Foundations

Transformational leadership was first proposed by Burns (1978) and then was developed by Bass (1985) and focuses on the ability of leaders to inspire followers, arouse intellectual development and give personal attention. The model consists of four paramount dimensions which include idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation and individualized consideration. All of them are beneficial in terms of increased employee engagement,

commitment to the organization, and performance results. Previous studies have always shown that transformational leadership has a positive correlation to employee attitudes and the effectiveness of an organization in different industries and diverse cultures.

Remote and Hybrid Work Contexts and Leadership Challenges

The development of digital technologies and the COVID-19 pandemic have greatly increased the use of remote and hybrid work models and changed the traditional organizational structure and leadership styles. Hybrid workplaces are a mix of in-office and remote working, and leaders have to deal with distributed teams, provide teamwork, and engage employees. The researchers have emphasized that the styles of leadership must be made flexible to incorporate digital platforms of communication, reduction of face-to-face communication, and increased autonomy of staff members. The leadership in such contexts must be characterized by a strong degree of flexibility, emotional intelligence, trust-building, and digital communication potentials (Usama et al., 2024).

Transformational Leadership in Virtual and Remote Teams

There is empirical evidence that transformational leadership has been proven to be functional when it comes to virtual teams and remote teams. Transformational leadership also has a positive impact on virtual team performance through the mediation of knowledge sharing that Demir and Hadziahmetovic (2024) have found. On the same note, Mysiralki and Paraskeva (2020) found that transformational leadership and emotional intelligence also achieve the goal of team effectiveness, satisfaction and viability in virtual teams. These results imply that cooperation and motivation can still be inculcated by the transformational leaders even in the digital mediated context. Ivaan and Vihaan (2024) found that transformational leadership-remote employee performance is strongly positively related in the insurance companies of the Indian market, and it is quite applicable to the new economies. Secondly, the whole body of literature on remote leadership practices demonstrates that transformational leadership may be employed to increase job satisfaction, productivity, and engagement among remote employees (Bibiluri et al., 2024).

Transformational Leadership in Hybrid Work Environments

Hybrid work is a new type of leadership that creates new challenges because it involves the presence of on-site and remote employees. Studies have shown that transformational leadership is significant in enhancing innovation, involvement, and organizational flexibility within the hybrid environments. In transformational leadership, Chinta et al. (2024) noted that it plays a vital role in the innovation of an organization in the hybrid workplace because it leads to the development of the spirit of creativity and continuous improvement. Equally, Cahyono and Mubarakiah (2025) emphasized the use of adaptive leadership approaches such as transformational leadership as part of promoting organizational agility and teamwork within the hybrid workforces. The systematic literature reviews have also supported the fact that transformational leadership is more effective than transactional leadership in improving employee engagement in remote and hybrid environments (Mendrofa, 2024). These results highlight the applicability of the transformational leadership in maintaining employee motivation and performance in work flexibility.

Transformational Leadership, Employee Engagement, and Organizational Outcomes

A number of studies have been conducted regarding the connection between transformational leadership and employee engagement, innovation, and organizational performance. Salim et al. (2024) indicated that transformational leadership plays a significant role in motivating, increasing the productivity, and retention of employees in the remote workplace. Also, some studies indicate that transformational leadership enhances inclusive organizational cultures, diversity management as well as innovation hence making organizations competitive.

6. Material and Methodology

6.1 Research Design

In the current research, the adopted research design was descriptive and analytical to investigate the impact of transformational leadership practices in remote and hybrid workplaces. The quantitative method was used to capture the perception of the leadership behaviors by the employees and their influence on motivation, engagement, and effectiveness at work. The cross-sectional design helped to collect data at one and only point in time, which was suitable to evaluate the existing leadership practices conducted within geographically dispersed teams. This design was believed to be suitable because the dynamics of leadership in digitally mediated working environments were exploratory in nature.

6.2 Data Collection Methods

A structured questionnaire was used to gather primary data by conducting interviews with employees who are in remote and hybrid jobs in selected organizations. The questionnaire included two parts: the first part topped with demographic and work-related questions, and the second one topped with the question of transformational leadership including inspirational motivation, idealized influence, individualized consideration, and intellectual stimulation. A five-point Likert scale was used to obtain the responses, with strongly disagree to strongly agree. The survey was sent electronically via email and safe online sources in order to make it accessible to remote participants. Peer-

reviewed journals, books, organizational reports, and reliable digital databases were also used as the sources of secondary data to justify the theoretical framework and contextual analysis.

6.3 Inclusion and Exclusion Criteria

Participants of the study were full-time employees who had worked at least half a year in remote or hybrid working arrangements, and this was sufficient to have enough exposure to leadership practices in virtual environments. The participants belonged to various fields (information technology, education, finance and consulting) to increase the external validity of the findings. The study excluded employees who only worked on-site, part-time, freelancers, and those with a less than six months experience of remote or hybrid work experience. Questionnaires that were not completed or the ones whose data were not filled consistently were also not included in final analysis to ensure data reliability.

6.4 Ethical Considerations

There was strict adherence to ethical principles in the course of the research. Involvement in the research was voluntary and all respondents were informed about the research beforehand and gave informed consent. The participants were guaranteed of their confidentiality and anonymity of their answers and no identifiable personal information is gathered. The data were not used in any other way besides academically and were kept safely to avoid third party access. It was made clear to the respondents that they could choose to leave the research at any point and not suffer any consequences.

7. Results and Discussion

7.1 Results:

7.1.1. Sample Characteristics

The article reviewed the answers of 312 employees in remote (46%), hybrid (54%), jobs in IT services, education, finance, and consulting, and healthcare support functions. The respondents were at least six months of experience under remote or hybrid supervision. In Table 1, the demographic profile of the respondents is given.

Table 1. Demographic Profile of Respondents (N = 312)

Variable	Category	Frequency	Percentage
Gender	Male	168	53.8
	Female	144	46.2
Age	21–30 years	92	29.5
	31–40 years	134	42.9
	41–50 years	62	19.9
	Above 50 years	24	7.7
Work Mode	Fully Remote	143	45.8
	Hybrid	169	54.2
Experience with Current Leader	< 1 year	58	18.6
	1–3 years	176	56.4
	> 3 years	78	25.0

The distribution indicates adequate representation of both remote and hybrid employees, supporting comparative analysis across work modes.

7.1.2. Descriptive Statistics of Transformational Leadership Dimensions

Transformational leadership was assessed on four dimensions, which included idealized influence, inspirational motivation, intellectual stimulation, and individualized consideration. The descriptive statistics are summarized in Table 2.

Table 2. Descriptive Statistics of Transformational Leadership Dimensions

Dimension	Mean	Standard Deviation
Idealized Influence	3.98	0.61
Inspirational Motivation	4.12	0.58
Intellectual Stimulation	3.87	0.65
Individualized Consideration	4.05	0.60

The dimensions used have all a mean value greater than the mean of the scale, and this means that the perception of supervisors working in remote and hybrid settings towards transformational leadership is generally high. The most perceived behavior was inspirational motivation.

7.1.3. Employee Outcomes in Remote and Hybrid Contexts

The results of employees analyzed comprised of job satisfaction, work engagement, perceived productivity and organizational commitment. The mean scores have been reported in Table 3.

Table 3. Descriptive Statistics of Employee Outcomes

Outcome Variable	Mean	Standard Deviation
Job Satisfaction	4.01	0.63
Work Engagement	3.94	0.66
Perceived Productivity	3.89	0.69
Organizational Commitment	3.97	0.64

The findings indicate positive employee performance when transformational leadership practice is used even in situations where physical distance and less face-to-face communication are involved.

7.1.4. Relationship Between Transformational Leadership and Employee Outcomes

Pearson correlation analysis was conducted to examine the association between transformational leadership and employee outcomes. The results are presented in Table 4.

Table 4. Correlation Between Transformational Leadership and Employee Outcomes

Variable	Job Satisfaction	Work Engagement	Productivity	Commitment
Transformational Leadership (Overall)	0.62**	0.58**	0.55**	0.60**

Note: **p < 0.01

The findings reveal strong and statistically significant positive relationships between transformational leadership and all employee outcomes, with the strongest association observed for job satisfaction.

7.1.5. Comparison Between Remote and Hybrid Employees

An independent sample t-test was conducted to identify differences in perceptions of transformational leadership between remote and hybrid employees.

Table 5. Comparison of Transformational Leadership Perceptions by Work Mode

Work Mode	Mean Score	t-value	Significance
Remote	3.95	2.18	0.03*
Hybrid	4.07		

Note: *p < 0.05

Hybrid employees reported slightly higher perceptions of transformational leadership compared to fully remote employees, suggesting that occasional in-person interaction may reinforce leadership visibility and relational connection.

7.2 Discussion

The results show that transformational leadership remains quite effective in virtual and hybrid workplace. Once the leaders score high in each of the dimensions of leadership, it implies that the former can communicate the vision, motivate his workers and provide them with individual assistance even when the interaction is mediated by the digital technologies. The inspirational motivation was found to be the strongest dimension, which is a pointer to the importance of having a clear vision, optimism and purpose in managing geographically dispersed teams. Leaders who articulated goals and identified team achievement were perceived to be individuals who were more effective in terms of keeping the people engaged. The high positive relationships between transformational leadership and employee outcomes confirm that every factor, including job satisfaction, engagement, productivity, and commitment, is contingent on the leadership practices of flexible working systems. The results mentioned above suggest that transformational leadership closes the gap that existence of physical proximity has left through the establishment of trust, psychological safety, and intrinsic motivation. The comparative analysis also shows that the transformational impact of leadership is a bit more significant on hybrid employees in comparison with the fully remote employees. This can be explained by the fact that there are periodical face-to-face interactions that strengthen the relationship building and contextualization. Nevertheless, the slight difference suggests that transformational leadership is a practiced approach that is possible to implement even in entirely remote settings provided that the leaders are keen to use digital communication and inclusiveness consciously. Altogether, the results are useful to confirm that transformational leadership can be relevant in modern workplaces and to emphasize its importance in ensuring the performance and comfort of the employees working in remote and hybrid conditions.

8. Limitations of the study

This study has also limitations though they are few and ought to be considered during the interpretation of the findings. First, the research is based mostly on self-reported data that was gathered among employees and managers that operate in the remote and hybrid environments. These data can be subject to personal perception, social desirability bias, or the work experiences of the respondents at the time that the data is collected, and this may lead to bias in the data collected. Second, this is because of the cross-sectional design of the study that cannot form causal relationships among transformational leadership behaviours and employee outcomes. The effectiveness of leadership and staff involvement in remote or hybrid working setups could change over time, especially as organizations get used to digital collaboration patterns, so this research does not represent that. Third, the sample size examines its specific industries and organization settings only and thus might limit the external validity of the results. The dynamics of leadership in technology-driven organizations can be quite different than the manufacturing, healthcare, or a state organization, in particular in digital preparedness and communication infrastructure. Also, the cultural and geographical differences, which potentially affect leadership perceptions in remote work environments are not addressed completely in the study. The influence of transformational leadership might be moderated by the differences in organizational culture, work norms of the country and communication styles but was not explicitly studied. Lastly, the study concentrates more on the concept of transformational leadership and fails to compare its effectiveness to other leadership approaches including transactional, servant, or adaptive leadership. Consequently, the research might fail to offer a holistic perspective of alternative leadership styles that might as well be effective in remote and hybrid work conditions.

9. Future Scope

The ongoing research project on transformational leadership in remote and virtual workplaces provides several viable opportunities in the future research. As the digital work arrangements continue to evolve, the future research can investigate the effectiveness of transformational leadership over time in the different industries, organizations of different sizes, and even in different cultural orientations. It can be more thoroughly explored using the comparative studies of the fully remote, hybrid, and traditional on-site workplaces with the consideration of the leadership behavior modification to various extents of physical and virtual interactions. Another issue that the future research can discuss is the application of digital communication tools and collaborative technologies in transformational leadership practices. Researching how leaders can inspire, motivate and engage employees using virtual platforms can contribute to the knowledge on the application of technology in mediating the effectiveness of leadership. Moreover, the analysis of transformational leadership in the light of emergent technologies, such as artificial intelligence, virtual reality, and the state-of-the-art collaboration software may also present a clue to the new leadership skills required in the modern organization. The second major avenue of new study would be the investigation of the outcome of the

employees beyond its performance-based such as the psychological well-being, work-life balance, trust, and organizational commitment in remote and hybrid settings. Longitudinal research might be carried out to determine the effect of long-term transformational leadership to employee resilience, flexibility, and career growth. In addition, upcoming research can also include mediating and moderating factors like organizational culture, emotional intelligence, digital literacy, and employee autonomy to have a better understanding of the processes through which transformational leadership influences the effectiveness of remote workforce. It would also be interesting to expand the research to carry cross-national comparisons so as to find out contextual factors that shape leadership practices in global virtual teams. Altogether, further research of the transformational leadership in the changing work models will contribute to the growth of theoretical knowledge and will offer effective recommendations on the way companies can create resilient, inclusive, and high-performing remote and hybrid work environments.

10. Conclusion

Changing the work organization to remote and hybrid working has redefined leadership practices fundamentally and made transformational leadership the key element in efficient organizational operation. This paper concludes that transformational leadership is a definitive factor in maintaining motivation, trust and performance of the employees in case there is a physical distance between the leader and subordinates. Transformational leaders can more effectively handle the problems of virtual coordination, lack of communication channels and isolation of employees that tend to come hand in hand with flexible work arrangements, due to their emphasis on vision, inspiration, individual consideration, and intellectual stimulation.

The results show that transformational leadership creates a feeling of belonging and collective purpose among remote and hybrid workers, increasing engagement and commitment despite the distance between them. Managers who engage actively with employee communication of a clear vision, show empathy, and promote autonomy have a higher success in establishing psychological safety and resiliency in virtual teams. Furthermore, flexibility of transformational leadership promotes innovation and lifelong learning that are essential to thrive in digitally mediated workplace environments of organisations.

Another important aspect of this research is that the efficiency of transformational leadership under remote and hybrid conditions is conditioned by the conscious application of digital communication tools and regular presence of the leader. Actions that generate trust, free-minded choices, and valuing personal input will become some of the key processes that can enable leaders to achieve high performance and well-being in flexible work models. In conclusion, transformational leadership is not just applicable to the remote and hybrid work environment but is essential to succeed in the long term. The organizations that want to excel in the evolving work places should introduce leadership development programs, to impart transformational skills, where the leaders would be facilitated to guide the scattered teams in a way that is transparent, sensitive and visionary.

References

1. Adabala SK. AI in HR Evolution: Harnessing Machine Learning for Modern Solutions. *J Artif Intell Mach Learn & Data Sci* 2023, 1(4), 1702-1707. DOI: doi.org/10.51219/JAIMLD/sai-krishna-adabala/369
2. Adabala, S. (2025). The Importance of data analytics in modern HR Practices. *International Journal of Innovative Research and Creative Technology*, 8(6), 1-16. <https://doi.org/10.5281/zenodo.14613648>
3. Adabala, S.K. The Role of Cloud Computing in Driving Business Innovation. *Int. J. Innov. Res. Creat. Technol.* 2020, 6, 1–19.
4. Agnihotri, S., Mamoria, P., Moorthygari, S. L., Chandel, P., & Gopala Raju, S. V. (2024). The role of reflective practice in enhancing teacher efficacy. *Educational Administration: Theory and Practice*, 30(6), 1689–1696. <https://doi.org/10.53555/kuey.v30i6.5574>
5. Arora, S. (2021). COVID-19 Blow on Indian Banks: Paralyzed Backbone of Indian Economy. In *COVID-19: Socio-Economic Crises in India* (Vol. 1, pp. 217–239). Anu Books Publishers. <https://doi.org/10.31995/BOOK.AB169-J21.Chapter16>
6. Arora, S.; Panchal, T. *Evolving Role of HR Analytics: Understanding Current Scenario and Future Roadmap in KPO/BPO Sector*; Academia: San Francisco, CA, USA, 2021; pp. 125–136.
7. Avolio, B. J., & Bass, B. M. (2004). *Multifactor leadership questionnaire: Manual and sampler set* (3rd ed.). Mind Garden.
8. Avolio, B. J., Kahai, S. S., & Dodge, G. E. (2001). E-leadership: Implications for theory, research, and practice. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 11(4), 615–668. [https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843\(00\)00062-X](https://doi.org/10.1016/S1048-9843(00)00062-X)
9. Bass, B. M., & Riggio, R. E. (2006). *Transformational leadership* (2nd ed.). Lawrence Erlbaum Associates.

10. Boliya, A., & Arora, S. (2025). Exploring User Adoption of Digital Lending Platforms in NBFCs: Insights from the Retail Loan Segment. *Journal of International Financial Trends*, 1(1), 18–28. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.55578/jift.2504.002>
11. Chandel, P. (2018). The evolution of employee engagement: A unique construct. *International Journal of Human Resource Management and Research*, 8, 199–216. <https://doi.org/10.24247/ijhrmrdec201822>
12. Chandel, P. (2019). Assessing the Association of Employee Engagement with affective Organizational Commitment in Higher Education Institutions. *International Journal of Multidisciplinary*, 7(2), 71-84.
13. Chandel, P., Thakur, A., Verma, A., Sharma, C. (2025). Value-Based Education Through the Bhagavad Gita: A Pathway to Holistic Student Development. In: Sharma, V.C., Varma, A. (eds) Proceedings of the National Conference on Indian Knowledge Systems for Viksit Bharat 2047-IKS-VB; 11–12 April 2025, Chandigarh, India. IKS-VB 2025. *Advances in 21st Century Human Settlements*. Springer, Singapore. https://doi.org/10.1007/978-981-96-9760-1_4
14. Contreras, F., Baykal, E., & Abid, G. (2020). E-leadership and teleworking in times of COVID-19 and beyond: What we know and where do we go. *Frontiers in Psychology*, 11, 590271. <https://doi.org/10.3389/fpsyg.2020.590271>
15. Dr. C. Sahila, Dr. Shwetha K R , Dr. Nitin Balasaheb Salve , Dr. Karishma Agarwal and Sruthi S . "Bridging Social Gaps with Artificial Intelligence: Redefining the Role of Social Entrepreneurship." *Advances in Consumer Research* 2, no. 5 (2025): 590-599. <https://acr-journal.com/article/bridging-social-gaps-with-artificial-intelligence-redefining-the-role-of-social-entrepreneurship-1720/>
16. Dr. Gauri Dhingra, Dr. Diksha, & Sruthi S. (2025). Culture As a Campaign: HR-Driven Marketing Strategies In The Digital Age. *European Economic Letters (EEL)*, 15(3), 3869–3880. Retrieved from <https://www.eelet.org.uk/index.php/journal/article/view/3889>
17. Eisenberg, J., Post, C., & DiTomaso, N. (2019). Team dispersion and performance: The role of team communication and transformational leadership. *Small Group Research*, 50(3), 348–380. <https://doi.org/10.1177/1046496419827376>
18. Gilson, L. L., Maynard, M. T., Jones Young, N. C., Vartiainen, M., & Hakonen, M. (2015). Virtual teams research: 10 years, 10 themes, and 10 opportunities. *Journal of Management*, 41(5), 1313–1337. <https://doi.org/10.1177/0149206314559946>
19. Goleman, D. (2000). Leadership that gets results. *Harvard Business Review*, 78(2), 78–90.
20. Hoch, J. E., & Kozlowski, S. W. J. (2014). Leading virtual teams: Hierarchical leadership, structural supports, and shared team leadership. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 99(3), 390–403. <https://doi.org/10.1037/a0030264>
21. Irshadullah Asim Mohammed, Prashant Pandey, & Sruthi S. (2025). The Impact Of AI On Strategic Decision Making In Modern Management. *European Economic Letters (EEL)*, 15(3), 3770–3782. Retrieved from <https://www.eelet.org.uk/index.php/journal/article/view/3865>
22. Judge, T. A., & Piccolo, R. F. (2004). Transformational and transactional leadership: A meta-analytic test of their relative validity. *Journal of Applied Psychology*, 89(5), 755–768. <https://doi.org/10.1037/0021-9010.89.5.755>
23. Kavipragash R, Dinesh Gabhane, Sruthi S.(2026). HR Analytics Meets Customer Insights: Leveraging Marketing Metrics for Workforce Optimization. *Journal of Informatics Education and Research*, 6(1), 346-357. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52783/jier.v6i1.4188>
24. Liao, C. (2017). Leadership in virtual teams: A multilevel perspective. *Human Resource Management Review*, 27(4), 648–659. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.hrmr.2016.12.010>
25. Madhumithaa N, Sharma A, Adabala SK, et al. Leveraging AI for personalized employee development: a new era in human resource management. *Adv Consum Res* 2025; 2: 134–141. <https://acr-journal.com/article/leveraging-ai-for-personalized-employee-development-a-new-era-in-human-resource-management-885/>
26. Madhumithaa, N., Mishra, A., Sruthi, S., Sivaperumal, K., & Adhav, S. Implications of Social Media and Socio-Economic Activities on Micro and Small Enterprises in India. *International Journal of Professional Business Review: Int. J. Prof. Bus. Rev.*, 8(4), 5(2023).

27. Mr. Ravindranath Sagar & Dr. Sarika R. Sagar. (2025). The Impact of Data-Driven Decision Making on Organizational Resilience. *Scriptora International Journal of Research and Innovation (SIJRI)*. 2(1), pp 10-18. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.65579/sijri.2025.v2i1.02>
28. N. Bargavi N. B., Sharma, S., Murali, M., Agarwal, K. & S, S. (2026). Green HRM and Sustainable Branding: Aligning People Practices with Eco-Marketing Strategies. *Advances in Consumer Research*, 3(1), 363-370. <https://acr-journal.com/article/green-hrm-and-sustainable-branding-aligning-people-practices-with-eco-marketing-strategies-2424/>
29. Northouse, P. G. (2022). *Leadership: Theory and practice* (9th ed.). Sage Publications.
30. Purvanova, R. K., & Bono, J. E. (2009). Transformational leadership in context: Face-to-face and virtual teams. *The Leadership Quarterly*, 20(3), 343–357. <https://doi.org/10.1016/j.leaqua.2009.03.004>
31. Radhakrishnan, G. V., Varalakshmi, R., Kohli, N. K., Jha, S., Sruthi, S., & Singh, S. P. (2025). AI-Driven Predictive Analytics for Enhancing Automotive Safety in Financial Risk Assessments in Cloud Data. In P. Rai, T. Ahmad, & B. Pandey (Eds.), *Embracing the Cloud as a Business Essential* (pp. 107-124). IGI Global Scientific Publishing. <https://doi.org/10.4018/979-8-3693-9581-3.ch006>
32. S. K. Adabala, "The Evolution of Chatbots from Simple Scripts to AI Powered Assistants," *J. Artif. Intell. Mach. Learn. Data Sci.*, vol. 3, no. 1, pp. 2224–2229, 2025.
33. S. K. Inani, H. Pradhan, S. Arora, A. Nagpal and P. O. Junior, "Forecasting Stock Market Using Artificial Neural Networks: A Performance Analysis," *2023 Global Conference on Information Technologies and Communications (GCITC)*, Bangalore, India, 2023, pp. 1-6, doi: 10.1109/GCITC60406.2023.10426212.
34. S. Sruthi.(2025). AI-Enhanced CRM Tools in Network Marketing: Adoption and Impact. *Scriptora International Journal of Research and Innovation (SIJRI)*,1(4). <https://scriptora.org/index.php/files/article/view/37>
35. S. Sruthi., M.R. (2025). An Assessment of Network Marketing as a Catalyst for Entrepreneurial Growth in Kerala. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*, 10(26s). DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i26s.4311>
36. Spreitzer, G. M., Cameron, L., & Garrett, L. (2017). Alternative work arrangements: Two images of the new world of work. *Annual Review of Organizational Psychology and Organizational Behavior*, 4, 473–499. <https://doi.org/10.1146/annurev-orgpsych-032516-113332>
37. Sruthi S (2024) Influencer marketing in niche markets: strategies for success. *Lib Pro* 44(3):344. <https://doi.org/10.48165/bapas.2024.44.2.1>
38. Sruthi S, Dr. R. Maheshwari. (2025). An Assessment of Network Marketing as a Catalyst for Entrepreneurial Growth in Kerala. *Journal of Information Systems Engineering and Management*. DOI: <https://doi.org/10.52783/jisem.v10i26s.4311>
39. Varalakshmi, C., Sharma, A., Paul, T. F., Singh, S. & S, S. (2025). HR Analytics and Financial Decision-Making: A Data-Driven Approach to Workforce Management. *Journal of Marketing & Social Research*, 2(2), 1-12. Doi: <https://jmsr-online.com/article/hr-analytics-and-financial-decision-making-a-data-driven-approach-to-workforce-management-45/>
40. Wang, B., Liu, Y., Qian, J., & Parker, S. K. (2021). Achieving effective remote working during the COVID-19 pandemic: A work design perspective. *Applied Psychology*, 70(1), 16–59. <https://doi.org/10.1111/apps.12290>
41. Yukl, G. (2013). *Leadership in organizations* (8th ed.). Pearson Education.